

20030626.qrp v02\_n963.qrl.20030626

Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 19:03:09 EDT  
From: qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: QRP-L digest 2963

QRP-L Digest 2963

Topics covered in this issue include:

- 1) [152987] Re: No Morse Code Test.  
by Bill ROWLETT <kc4atu@yahoo.com>
- 2) [152988] Re: No Morse Code Test.  
by "Davies, Doug A FOR:EX" <Doug.Davies@gems3.gov.bc.ca>
- 3) [152989] Re: No Morse Code Test.  
by Dave Richards <wr3i@earthlink.net>
- 4) [152990] Re: No Morse Code Test.  
by Garie Halstead <khyberpass65@yahoo.com>
- 5) [152991] Arrgghhh (was Re: No Morse Code Test.)  
by "Dave Ek" <ekdave@earthlink.net>
- 6) [152992] RE: Word Wrap?  
by "Hare,Ed, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.org>
- 7) [152993] RE: Word Wrap?  
by "Hare,Ed, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.org>
- 8) [152994] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
by Rick McKee <kc8aon@juno.com>
- 9) [152995] Re: QRP Coverage in QST  
by "Nick Kennedy" <nkennedy@tcainternet.com>
- 10) [152996] Re: Word Wrap?  
by "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>
- 11) [152997] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
by "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>
- 12) [152998] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
by Alex <kr1st@amsat.org>
- 13) [152999] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
by Ed Tanton <n4xy@earthlink.net>
- 14) [153000] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
by "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>
- 15) [153001] Re: Word Wrap?  
by Alex <kr1st@amsat.org>
- 16) [153002] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
by psschwarz@kellnet.com
- 17) [153003] Re: Word Wrap?  
by M Taylor <mctylr@privacy.nb.ca>
- 18) [153004] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
by "Dave Ek" <ekdave@earthlink.net>
- 19) [153005] Re: No Morse Code Test.

- by M Taylor <mctylr@privacy.nb.ca>
- 20) [153006] SLA battery rescue?  
by Dale Botkin <dale@botkin.org>
- 21) [153007] NEQRP CW Net, Thursday, 26 June 03, 08:30 PM EDT, 3.565 MHz  
by Chuck Ludinsky <cjl@mitre.org>
- 22) [153008] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
by Rick McKee <kc8aon@juno.com>
- 23) [153009] Re: No Morse ode Test  
by "KD7SNX Rob. C" <kd7snx@earthlink.net>
- 24) [153010] Set QRP-L mail ack  
by "Burl A. Keeton" <n5duq@juno.com>
- 25) [153011] WARC and CW  
by Karl Larsen <k5di@msn.com>
- 26) [153012] Re: SLA battery rescue?  
by "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>
- 27) [153013] OT: Test Equipment For Sale...  
by "Michael Melland" <w9wis@charter.net>
- 28) [153014] Re: WARC 2003  
by John Farler <jfarler@peoplepc.com>
- 29) [153015] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
by David Hinerman <WD8CIV@worldnet.att.net>
- 30) [153016] RE: Small Cabin/Hamshack Plans  
by "Robert K. Henderson" <rkh@sympatico.ca>
- 31) [153017] Re: No Morse Code Test.  
by "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>
- 32) [153018] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
by "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>
- 33) [153019] QRP Field Day from Austin Tx new call this year!  
by "Stuart Rohre" <rohre@arlut.utexas.edu>
- 34) [153020] Re: SLA battery rescue?  
by Peter Burbank <peterlee@qx.net>
- 35) [153021] Re: 6-meter Simple DSB transceiver  
by Lee Boulineau <n4mvl@yahoo.com>
- 36) [153022] RE: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
by "Bob & Janet" <jbcraft@adelphia.net>
- 37) [153023] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
by David Hinerman <WD8CIV@worldnet.att.net>
- 38) [153024] RE: SLA battery rescue?  
by "Bob & Janet" <jbcraft@adelphia.net>
- 39) [153025] New PLC QRM Article  
by W0rw@aol.com
- 40) [153026] FD Plans for N0TU, N0MHQ, and NK0E  
by "Dave Ek" <ekdave@earthlink.net>
- 41) [153027] WHO IS THIS?  
by "Bob & Janet" <jbcraft@adelphia.net>
- 42) [153028] Re: WHO IS THIS?  
by Bud Rogers <budr@sirinet.net>
- 43) [153029] Re: WARC 2003

by Bob Nielsen <nielsen@oz.net>  
44) [153030] Re: WHO IS THIS?  
by "Dave Ek" <ekdave@earthlink.net>  
45) [153031] Re: WHO IS THIS?  
by Ed Tanton <n4xy@earthlink.net>  
46) [153032] RE: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
by "Tim, N9PUZ" <n9puz@arrl.net>  
47) [153033] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
by "John\_K7FD" <john\_k7fd@cablespeed.com>  
48) [153034] Re: WHO IS THIS?  
by Peter Burbank <peterlee@qx.net>  
49) [153035] FOX: AC7A June 22, 2003 Final Log  
by "Thomas Kuehl" <ac7a@earthlink.net>  
50) [153036] RE: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
by Peter Burbank <peterlee@qx.net>  
51) [153037] Fwd: RF Communications book online  
by Peter Burbank <peterlee@qx.net>  
52) [153038] Re: SLA battery rescue?  
by "Leon Heller" <leon\_heller@hotmail.com>  
53) [153039] Re: HAMCOM 2003 Pictures  
by "George, W5YR" <w5yr@att.net>  
54) [153040] Re: WHO IS THIS?  
by "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>  
55) [153041] Re: WHO IS THIS?  
by "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>  
56) [153042] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
by Bill ROWLETT <kc4atu@yahoo.com>  
57) [153043] SuSe 8.2 Pro Linux CDs  
by "Michael Bower N4NMR" <bowerm@ix.netcom.com>  
58) [153044] Re: OT: Small Cabin/Hamshack Plans  
by "Michael C. Boatright" <ko4wx@mindspring.com>  
59) [153045] TT Scout FS  
by Vin Santis <vsantis@earthlink.net>  
60) [153046] Vertically challenged antenna choice  
by "Lee Mairs" <lmairs@direcway.com>  
61) [153047] Re: HAMCOM 2003 Pictures  
by Karl Larsen <k5di@msn.com>  
62) [153048] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
by Alex <kr1st@amsat.org>  
63) [153049] Re: Vertically challenged antenna choice  
by "Jack Bennett" <J.Bennett@lboro.ac.uk>  
64) [153050] Re: WHO IS THIS?  
by "Dave Ek" <ekdave@earthlink.net>  
65) [153051] Iowa QRP Club CW Net  
by mark.milburn@juno.com  
66) [153052] Re: SLA battery rescue?  
by John Kuklewicz N7ZN <kukl@cybrquest.com>  
67) [153053] Re: How good is the "mod" for 60 meters?

- by Tim Groat <tcgroat@earthlink.net>
- 68) [153054] Field Day w/Rains ARA es QRP  
by Chuck Carpenter <w5usj@9plus.net>
- 69) [153055] QRPer Ben, NW7NX, Award in QST  
by Chuck Carpenter <w5usj@9plus.net>
- 70) [153056] Re: Vertically challenged antenna choice  
by "Lee Hopper" <leehopp@msn.com>
- 71) [153057] Re: No Morse Code Test  
by Tim Groat <tcgroat@earthlink.net>
- 72) [153058] [OT] Showing off some scroungework  
by "Brad Hernlem" <alihernlem@hotmail.com>
- 73) [153059] RE: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
by "Hare,Ed, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.org>
- 74) [153060] Ten-Tec July QRP kit sale  
by "Ten-Tec Inc. Amateur Radio Sales" <sales@tentec.com>
- 75) [153061] 00oooPs -- QRPer Ben, NW7DX, Award in QST  
by Chuck Carpenter <w5usj@9plus.net>
- 76) [153062] FS: Drake W4 wattmeter  
by "Scott Rosenfeld [N7JI]" <ham@w3eax.umd.edu>
- 77) [153063] Re: New PLC QRM Article  
by San Rotter <rotter@ieee.org>
- 78) [153064] Re: WHO IS THIS?  
by "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>
- 79) [153065] Re: Vertically challenged antenna choice  
by Bob Nielsen <nielsen@oz.net>
- 80) [153066] Re: No Morse Code Test.  
by ed miller <millered@magnet.fsu.edu>
- 81) [153067] RE: WARC and CW  
by "Hare,Ed, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.org>
- 82) [153068] Grid Squares/Locators: 6, 8 & 12 characters  
by "Ron McConnell" <rcmcc@earthlink.net>
- 83) [153069] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
by "Jerry" <jerryaa@fbx.com>
- 84) [153070] OT: Another Item of Test Gear FS - Curve Tracer  
by "Michael Melland" <w9wis@charter.net>
- 85) [153071] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
by "Gene Sailsbury" <gsailsbury@mobil1.net>
- 86) [153072] RE: WARC and CW  
by Mark Schoonover <schoon@amgt.com>
- 87) [153073] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
by Alex <kr1st@amsat.org>
- 88) [153074] Re: No Morse Code Test  
by William R Colbert <w5xe@juno.com>
- 89) [153075] RE: WHO IS THIS?  
by Mark Schoonover <schoon@amgt.com>
- 90) [153076] Re: WARC and CW  
by "Garey Barrell" <k4oah@mindspring.com>
- 91) [153077] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!

by Karl Larsen <k5di@msn.com>

92) [153078] A Quick vote and lets get back to radio  
by Stephen Hawkins <wv6u@attbi.com>

93) [153079] FD for N0MQ and KI6DS  
by "Gene Sailsbury" <gsailsbury@mobill1.net>

94) [153080] Re: [ham] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
by KD5NWA <KD5NWA@cbayona.com>

95) [153081] Re: Vertically challenged antenna choice  
by Peter Burbank <peterlee@qx.net>

96) [153082] Hazards of too many kits!  
by Doug Faunt N6TQS +1-510-655-8604 <faunt@panix.com>

97) [153083] RE: Hazards of too many kits!  
by "David Hinerman" <WD8CIV@worldnet.att.net>

98) [153084] Zero beat me with his key . . . and I liked it!  
by nilsbull@juno.com

99) [153085] LEARC FD!!  
by Wayne K9DI <k9di@k9di.org>

100) [153086] Re: Hazards of too many kits!  
by "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>

101) [153087] Future of Ham Radio?  
by "John L. Sielke" <jsielke@pobox.com>

102) [153088] The Code Issue - A New Extra's View  
by Robin Kidd <robink@us.ibm.com>

103) [153089] RE: Future of Ham Radio?  
by Mark Schoonover <schoon@amgt.com>

104) [153090] Fox: N0IT June 22 2003 Final Log  
by "Dave Sjolín" <sjolin@swbell.net>

105) [153091] [zekiko.sspam@uol.com.br: RE:Hazards of too many kits!]  
by Doug Faunt N6TQS +1-510-655-8604 <faunt@panix.com>

106) [153092] RE: [zekiko.sspam@uol.com.br: RE:Hazards of too many kits!]  
by Mark Schoonover <schoon@amgt.com>

107) [153093] Re: [ham] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
by Karl Larsen <k5di@msn.com>

108) [153094] RE: [zekiko.sspam@uol.com.br: RE:Hazards of too many kits!]  
by Philip L Carter <pcarter@gcfn.org>

109) [153095] Re: [zekiko.sspam@uol.com.br: RE:Hazards of too many kits!]  
by "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>

110) [153096] Re: [zekiko.sspam@uol.com.br: RE:Hazards of too many kits!]  
by "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>

111) [153097] Re: [zekiko.sspam@uol.com.br: RE:Hazards of too many kits!]  
by "Dave Sjolín" <sjolin@swbell.net>

112) [153098] Last time I heard a young voice  
by kwiike@gdls.com

113) [153099] FS unbuilt DSW20-II beta  
by Jim Crooke <crooke@crooke.us>

114) [153100] Re: Zero beat me with his key . . . and I liked it!  
by "Mark Andrews \ (KE4MA\)" <KE4IOF@ke4iof.com>

115) [153101] New Spammer

by Karl Larsen <k5di@msn.com>  
116) [153102] Re: Last time I heard a young voice  
by scottamcmullen@netscape.net (scott mcmullen)  
117) [153103] No more trash from zekiko@uol.com.br  
by "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>  
118) [153104] FOX: N4DD Fox Announcement  
by "Dennis Brickey" <n4dd@preferred.com>  
119) [153105] Re: Last time I heard a young voice  
by Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>  
120) [153106] Lobstercon? question  
by Dave Richards <wr3i@earthlink.net>  
121) [153107] Re: Vertically challenged antenna choice  
by Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>  
122) [153108] FCC On RF Exposure  
by "Mike Duke, K5XU" <k5xu@jam.rr.com>  
123) [153109] Re: Vertically challenged antenna choice  
by Dave Richards <wr3i@earthlink.net>

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 16:03:06 -0700 (PDT)  
From: Bill ROWLETT <kc4atu@yahoo.com>  
To: kc8aon@juno.com,  
Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [152987] Re: No Morse Code Test.  
Message-ID: <20030625230306.17538.qmail@web14201.mail.yahoo.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Oh give me a break. If you read the whole thing, each  
country will decide weather the code is to stay or  
not. Let the FCC know how you feal in a professional  
maner, if you can.

73, Bill kc4atu

-----  
Do you Yahoo!?  
SBC Yahoo! DSL - Now only \$29.95 per month!  
<http://sbc.yahoo.com>

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 16:12:15 -0700  
From: "Davies, Doug A FOR:EX" <Doug.Davies@gems3.gov.bc.ca>  
To: "'qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU'" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [152988] Re: No Morse Code Test.  
Message-ID: <08D18BEFE0F59E4EB2BB78107B5A5271017377B6@blaze.bcsc.gov.bc.ca>

MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"

As one long-time ham so eloquently put it recently..." What we have gained in quantity, we have more than lost in quality"

Doug VA7DD

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 19:12:22 -0400  
From: Dave Richards <wr3i@earthlink.net>  
To: kc4atu@yahoo.com,  
Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [152989] Re: No Morse Code Test.  
Message-ID: <oprcciqwp4kb5jrn@localhost>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8; format=flowed

Bill , I agree but is there a way we express our opinions in a collective manner, for example I am not as eloquent as some, and it would help me to have some sort of crib sheet or form letter that I can forward to the FCC

Regards

Dave

> Oh give me a break. If you read the whole thing, each  
> country will decide weather the code is to stay or  
> not. Let the FCC know how you feel in a professional  
> maner, if you can.

>

> 73, Bill kc4atu

>

> -----

> Do you Yahoo!?

> SBC Yahoo! DSL - Now only \$29.95 per month!

> <http://sbc.yahoo.com>

>

--

Dave Richards

-----

Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 16:14:39 -0700 (PDT)  
From: Garie Halstead <khyberpass65@yahoo.com>  
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [152990] Re: No Morse Code Test.  
Message-ID: <20030625231439.19334.qmail@web80505.mail.yahoo.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

--- Rick McKee <kc8aon@juno.com> wrote:

> Got this from a friend today ! Guess this is the real reason the FCC  
> is  
> giving us channels now ! Guess it's time to get a copy of the 10  
> codes !

We all saw this coming a long time ago. It was envitable. Having been given the choice, you can bet that some countries will maintain the code requirement. The question is ... how many?

73, Gary -K8KFJ-

-----  
Do you Yahoo!?  
SBC Yahoo! DSL - Now only \$29.95 per month!  
<http://sbc.yahoo.com>

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 17:16:23 -0600  
From: "Dave Ek" <ekdave@earthlink.net>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [152991] Arrgghhh (was Re: No Morse Code Test.)  
Message-ID: <002801c33b6f\$cbadf5d0\$6801a8c0@dad>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
                charset="Windows-1252"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Please, please, please not this topic again. Jim just set the list back to "unmoderated" (at least temporarily)! It won't last if we get into the usual flame wars on this sensitive topic. Better to write the ARRL or the FCC than waste your breath here. It's not like any of us are setting policy...

73 de Dave NK0E

OBQRP: Are you getting ready for Field Day? Don't miss it!



-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 19:21:48 -0400  
From: "Hare,Ed, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.org>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [152992] RE: Word Wrap?  
Message-ID: <721D3436A7C2B344A301FD4A413C71A9016F331B@kosh.arrlhq.org>  
content-class: urn:content-classes:message  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
          charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Yeah, I know, but Outlook seems to work "normally" everywhere else, and =  
just plain  
(Wow! I remembered!) forget to do that. I had a lot of suggestions, but =  
the options=20  
described by folks don't seem to exist in my version. It is a =  
"networked" version,  
so some of the functions may be controlled system wide by our IS folks, =  
and we=20  
lovingly call them "Misinformation Disservices." =20

They are not amused!

73,=20  
Ed Hare, W1RFI  
ARRL Lab  
225 Main St  
Newington, CT 06111  
Tel: 860-594-0318  
Internet: w1rfi@arrl.org  
Web: <http://www.arrl.org/tis>

> -----Original Message-----  
> From: Philip L Carter [mailto:pcarter@gcfn.org]  
> Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2003 4:07 PM  
> To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion  
> Subject: Re: Word Wrap?  
>=20  
>=20  
> You could just hit a return every now and then.  
>=20  
> NRE/COLE Test Center OH-3  
> pcarter@gcfn.org or wd8qwr@arrl.net  
> Philip L. Carter, WD8QWR

> wd8qwr@w8cqk.#cmh.oh.usa.na  
>=20  
>=20  
>=20

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 19:24:56 -0400  
From: "Hare,Ed, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.org>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [152993] RE: Word Wrap?  
Message-ID: <721D3436A7C2B344A301FD4A413C71A9016F331C@kosh.arrlhq.org>  
content-class: urn:content-classes:message  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
          charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

In my version, "word wrap" is NOT in my index. :-( I hate to get this =  
list at home on AOL,=20  
(I remembered again!) but I may have little choice. I bet lots of my =  
brilliant words are  
unread.=20

73,=20  
Ed Hare, W1RFI  
ARRL Lab  
225 Main St  
Newington, CT 06111  
Tel: 860-594-0318  
Internet: w1rfi@arrl.org  
Web: <http://www.arrl.org/tis>

ARRL is the National Association for Amateur Radio. It is supported by =  
membership dues, individual contributions and the sale of publications =  
and advertising. For more information about ARRL, go to =  
<http://www.arrl.org/news/features/inside-your-league.html>. For more =  
information about membership, go to <http://www.arrl.org/join.html>. Your =  
contribution can also help support ARRL's ongoing efforts to protect =  
Amateur spectrum. Go to =  
<https://www.arrl.org/forms/development/donations/basic/> to learn more =  
about the ways you can support the ARRL programs and activities of most =  
importance to you. You can help ARRL protect Amateur Radio for you and =  
future generations to enjoy.

> -----Original Message-----  
> From: Richard Brummer, K2JQ [mailto:k2jq@rcn.com]

> Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2003 1:12 PM  
> To: Hare,Ed, W1RFI; Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion  
> Subject: Re: Word Wrap?  
>=20  
>=20  
> Ed and others,  
>=20  
> Try this:  
>=20  
> Click on "Help" at the top of your "Outlook Express" screen. Then,  
> click on "Contents and Index," and type in the keywords "word wrap."  
> You will get full instructions on how to set the line length,=20  
> but it states  
> that Outlook Express automatically word wraps at 76=20  
> characters per line.  
>=20  
> Hope this helps.  
>=20  
> 73  
> Dick K2JQ  
> ----- Original Message -----  
> From: "Hare,Ed, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.org>  
> To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
> Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2003 12:53 PM  
> Subject: RE: Word Wrap?  
>=20  
>=20  
> > I am one of the miscreants, and I will be danged if I can=20  
> figure out how  
> to make Outloook not do it,  
> > other than manually remember to put in carriage returns.  
>=20  
>=20

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 19:20:46 -0400  
From: Rick McKee <kc8aon@juno.com>  
To: qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU  
Subject: [152994] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
Message-ID: <20030625.192052.9190.13.kc8aon@juno.com>

It does need to be "STARTED AGAIN" ! Seems like most folks are just to afraid to face the facts, and just cave in to everything that comes down the pike and say nothing ! CW has been a filter for years for those that don't take amateur radio serious enough to put forth some effort to get involved. I don't apologize if my comment offended someone, I'm just sick and tired of this GIVE ME SOMETHING FOR NOTHING ATTITUDE everyone

seems to have these days ! Has the whole world become so lazy that they refuse to learn a language that is much simpler to learn than the English language ? And you learned that when you were 2 years old ! Everyone tries to make the act of learning CW an impossible task. I've heard all kinds of excuses for not learning it ! I've got a high frequency hearing loss, it's too hot to study, it's too cold to study, it makes me nervous, it makes my dog bark ! Good grief people ! If it bothers you that much, you need to find another hobby ! I have about 50% hearing loss in my right ear, about 20% in the left one, and I'm NOT the most studious person in the world, and I didn't even like CW when I started ! But I learned CW in about 2 weeks with about a half hour session each evening ! MARK MY WORD ! When the requirement for CW is dropped, the door will be left wide open for all kinds of RIF RAF ! And I 'm not saying that all who enters the hobby this way will be bad ones, I'm sure there will be PLENTY of good operators. But I'm also sure we will get a hell of a lot of bad ones too ! Don't believe me ? Tune around on 11 meters and listen to some of these clowns that are chomping at the bits for them to drop the code requirement so they can get on the bands a wreak havoc ! Some of them have already been on the bands without a license ! I've heard them bragging about it, and heard them brag about how much trouble they're gonna cause when they get their NO CODE - ALL BAND LICENSE ! Remember, "A fighter that drops his guard, gets sucker punched !"

72/73 de: Rick McKee, KC8AON <> Willow Wood, Ohio <> Grid: EM88rl  
SW 40+, HW-8, Yaesu FT-7, Homebrew 6V6 tube TX & Hallicrafters SW500 RX  
QRP-L #2112, FPqrp #33, AR QRP #269  
QRP'ers DEPEND ON SKILL - NOT RAW POWER !

On Wed, 25 Jun 2003 18:38:55 -0400 "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com> writes:

>> I only got one thing to say about that ! "BREAKER BREAKER ONE NINE >!"

>

>Uh... Excuse me. But if this is the level of how people are going to >deal with this issue, then we might as well all go buy CB rigs.

>

>About 4 years ago we had some serious pro/con CW debates here. A >lot of people got childish, tempers flared, and some people even left.

>

>Thing is, PLEASE DON'T START THAT AGAIN!!

>

>Especially with remarks like the above.

>

>But, this is something we DO need to discuss. And NOT as a >pro or anti CW proponent.

>

>It's already started, the 'consolidation' of the license classes.

>Now

>with CW at a single level, and the possible removal of CW as a  
>requirement at all on the horizon, how do we keep our CW space?  
>  
>It certainly won't be by arguing pro or anti CW.  
>  
>It certainly won't be by making childish remarks about other radio  
>services.  
>  
>Face facts people, sooner or later the CW sub-bands will come up  
>for 'parceling'. How do we make sure we have our finger in the pie?  
>I want the equivalent of the car commercial where the car is about to  
>be sold out from under the guy so he licks the car door! I want the  
>equivalent of a dog... Well, you get the idea.  
>  
>So.... I've said this before, and hopefully it will warrant some  
>serious  
>consideration. Just remember, if we do nothing, it will be decided  
>and  
>done FOR us, without our input. Or against our objections.  
>  
>Here's what I'm considering. This is not final, but I want to throw  
>it  
>out  
>for discussion.  
>  
>How about a plan that consolidates the CW areas into two subbands.  
>One band is for 'novice', the other for 'operators'. This is NOT by  
>license class.  
>  
>Initially the two slots will be for 'novice/tech' people, the other  
>for  
>every other class.  
>  
>Notice that I did NOT say 'tech plus'. Because that's the other  
>part of my proposal.  
>  
>I want the 'novice' part of the band to be for people to use CW.  
>I am NOT saying they must have CW endorsement. I'm saying  
>let the no code people onto that area, which will be limited, to  
>LEARN CW. Let the people that have gotten into HAM radio  
>without CW endorsement have an area where they can practice,  
>play, and have fun with CW. From the person on '1st-day' trying  
>to work at less than 1wpm to the guy who just doesn't want to  
>move up to the 'big boys' area. Keep that slice of bandspace  
>held for people LEARNING CW. And CW only. No data, no  
>voice. Nothing but CW. In fact, make it MANUAL CW only.  
>No computers or other 'generated' CW. If the people with no  
>tested CW proficiency want to get onto HF, make them get in

>with manual keys so they learn it.  
>  
>And hopefully they will have fun. And having fun is the best way  
>I think we can pull people into the CW supporting ranks if we do  
>this the right way.  
>  
>The alternative is to chase people away and turn them into people  
>who despise people who operate CW as 'those elitist xxxxx'.  
>  
>People we pull in will hopefully support us.  
>  
>People we chase away may even take an active role in our demise.  
>  
>Make a choice. And make it before it's no longer a choice, but  
>a directive.  
>  
>Mike  
>N1DVJ  
>  
>  
>

---

The best thing to hit the internet in years - Juno SpeedBand!  
Surf the web up to FIVE TIMES FASTER!  
Only \$14.95/ month - visit [www.juno.com](http://www.juno.com) to sign up today!

---

Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 19:29:12 -0700  
From: "Nick Kennedy" <nkennedy@tcainternet.com>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [152995] Re: QRP Coverage in QST  
Message-ID: <009601c33b8a\$baf32b50\$0400000a@wa5bdu>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
                charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hey, that goes for me too.

I'd be a life member, but I'm not sure I'm gonna live that long. [Har]

72--Nick, WA5BDU

----- Original Message -----

From: "Thomas Kuehl" <ac7a@earthlink.net>

> Ed,  
>  
> Those of us who know you, and other ARRL dedicated staffers, appreciate  
your  
> hard work and don't for a moment question your commitment to the  
betterment  
> of amateur radio. Thanks for all your tireless work on RFI issues, the  
> wireless phone jacks (a few years back), and now BPL.  
>  
> Yep, I don't always agree with the league, but I will always be a member.  
> Maybe it is better that we sometimes lose a few members.

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 19:22:11 -0400  
From: "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>  
To: <w1rifi@arrl.org>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [152996] Re: Word Wrap?  
Message-ID: <01c201c33b70\$a13e5aa0\$0200a8c0@charter.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

One trick I use when I want something to format nice is to go 'full  
screen'  
on composing mail. Then you can put the cr/lf in by hitting <Enter> where  
you see it on the screen, and not let the system do it for you at all.

It works because when I'm in 'box mode' where I'm less than a full screen,  
the wraparounds happen about where I should hit enter. But if I go  
full screen, or maximized, then I can see almost two lines per single  
line on the display.

Mike

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 19:27:50 -0400  
From: "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>  
To: <kc8aon@juno.com>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>

Subject: [152997] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
Message-ID: <01f401c33b71\$688e3800\$0200a8c0@charter.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

> It does need to be "STARTED AGAIN" !

Mr McKee

I see you see to want to become known as the individual that shuts down the list again and makes it moderated.

I've sent this privately and you have seen to ignore it.

So I'll send it publicly.

"Please grow up. We do not need another pro/anti CW flame war on the list"

You can quote me on that.

Mike  
N1DVJ

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 19:37:54 -0400  
From: Alex <kr1st@amsat.org>  
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [152998] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
Message-ID: <3EFA3252.55BA8F74@amsat.org>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hi Mike,

Mike Yetsko wrote:

> Let the people that have gotten into HAM radio  
> without CW endorsement have an area where they can practice,  
> play, and have fun with CW.

I'm missing the justification for the separate learning portion of the



band. What problem are you trying to solve?

> The alternative is to chase people away and turn them into people  
> who despise people who operate CW as 'those elitist xxxxx'.

If you're afraid of elitism, or being regarded as such, I think the last thing you would want to do is creating two groups separated by nothing else but a proficiency in a certain mode. First we had the division of no-coders and coders, and with your plan we get the division of slow/no-coders and fast coders? For CW to remain alive 'n kickin', perhaps one would one to strive to be as non divisive as possible.

Perhaps just a simple CW assignment will be sufficient.

73,  
--Alex KR1ST

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 19:40:32 -0400  
From: Ed Tanton <n4xy@earthlink.net>  
To: myetsko@insydesw.com,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [152999] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
Message-ID: <5.2.1.1.2.20030625193212.02d23fe8@pop.earthlink.net>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

Hear hear Mike!!! I don't know why everybody's so worried anyhow... as long as they don't OUTLAW CW (as I have to admit they have done with this 5MHz garbage) we have a built-in advantage: 200Hz of CW filter WITH my external DSP and moderately strong CW signals might as well exist in a vacuum [grin]. No SSB signal(s) of less than ENORMOUS proportion can keep me from a QSO.

Now... weak-signal stuff is ANOTHER thing entirely... and short of coherent-CW or some really SPECIAL spread-spectrum, I have no good solution for competing weak-signal-CW/strong-signal-SSB QSOs, except to try harder.

I'm not the least bit happy to see CW in decline here... but I continue to believe I will be able to enjoy the hobby for years to come-regardless of what happens to [CW] band definitions. Pardon the heresy, but if it comes right down to it, and there's a mess resembling 20M & 75M SSB everywhere, there IS that NCL-2000 I have with the two 4CX400s. An evil thought I know, but the rule/law is: the minimum power required for reliable communications. Sometimes, one does what one must.

73 Ed Tanton N4XY <n4xy@earthlink.net>

Ed Tanton N4XY  
189 Pioneer Trail  
Marietta, GA 30068-3466

website: <http://www.n4xy.com>

All emails <IN> & <OUT> checked by  
Norton AntiVirus with AutoProtect

LM: ARRL QCWA AMSAT & INDEXA;  
SEDXC NCDXA GACW QRP-ARCI  
OK-QRP QRP-L #758 K2 (FT) #00057

-----  
"He that gives up a little liberty to gain  
temporary security will lose both and  
deserve neither".  
--Benjamin Franklin

"Suppose you were an idiot ...  
and suppose you were a member of  
Congress... but I repeat myself."  
--Mark Twain  
-----

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 19:37:09 -0400  
From: "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>  
To: <kr1st@amsat.org>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [153000] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
Message-ID: <020601c33b72\$b3998880\$0200a8c0@charter.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Maybe I wasn't 100% clear on how I would divide the CW sub-bands

One small area would be for people who do NOT have CW skills.  
People who have a HAM ticket but do NOT have any CW tested  
ability. It would be an area to LEARN how to work CW.

Give the people who come into HAM radio with a technician license but no CW endorsement a place to learn and actually use it.

Once they get any kind of CW endorsement, either with a CSCE or an upgrade, then they would have access to the full CW space of the bands.

The idea is to make learning CW fun. Get people hooked. They are already HAM radio operators. But I think we need to do more to get people interested in CW. Learning it from a computer or tapes just doesn't give the thrill that actually learning it interactively over the air would.

I think it would suck newbies into a rush that they would want to come back to again and again.

Mike  
N1DVJ

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 19:47:36 -0400  
From: Alex <kr1st@amsat.org>  
To: w1rfi@arrl.org  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [153001] Re: Word Wrap?  
Message-ID: <3EFA3498.C25E9EC3@amsat.org>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hi Ed,

"Hare,Ed, W1RFI" wrote:

> Yeah, I know, but Outlook seems to work "normally" everywhere else, and  
> just plain (Wow! I remembered!) forget to do that. I had a lot of  
> suggestions, but the options described by folks don't seem to exist in  
> my version. It is a "networked" version, so some of the functions may  
> be controlled system wide by our IS folks, and we lovingly call them  
> "Misinformation Disservices."

Check if your Outlook is set to use Word as an editor. Click on "new message" and see if in the title bar of window that pops up for the new message shows a Word Icon on the left. If so, then that may explain why you are missing options. I just ran into this myself this morning when I

wanted to insert an "Item" in a message. Only when Outlook is set to \_not\_ use Word it will display this and many other options.

73,  
--Alex KR1ST

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 00:14:03 GMT  
From: psschwarz@kellnet.com  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [153002] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
Message-ID: <20030626001403.1957.qmail@mail.kellnet.com>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; format=flowed; charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

There already is on every band: band edge to band edge.

Alex writes:

> Perhaps just a simple CW assignment will be sufficient.  
>  
> 73,  
> --Alex KR1ST

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 00:49:08 +0100  
From: M Taylor <mctylr@privacy.nb.ca>  
To: "Hare,Ed, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.org>  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [153003] Re: Word Wrap?  
Message-ID: <20030626004908.A20607@pull.privacy.nb.ca>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Disposition: inline

On Wed, Jun 25, 2003 at 07:21:48PM -0400, Hare,Ed, W1RFI wrote:

> Yeah, I know, but Outlook seems to work "normally" everywhere else, and just plain  
> (Wow! I remembered!) forget to do that. I had a lot of suggestions, but the options

You appear to be using "Outlook", not "Outlook Express", which is a different program that unfortunately shares a similar name, yet is quite different "under the hood." It appears to be using an Exchange server (common in

an office LAN environment), but that should not help or hinder. Which version of Outlook are you running (menu Help / About Microsoft Outlook)?

Oh, I found a copy of Outlook 2000 installed in the house, so if you have Outlook 2000, this should hopefully help....

Choose from the "Tools" menu, "Options" item, and select the "Mail Format" tab.

"Send in this message format:" select "Plain text" and click on the "Settings..." button, and there should be an option for word wrapping. It should be in the range of 72 to 78 (74-76 are my recommended values).

I might have Office XP installed upstairs, if you have a newer version of Outlook installed, and if I get yet another new account I can check the Outlook included with Office 97 if need be.

I hope that helps.  
-ve1mct

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 17:58:02 -0600  
From: "Dave Ek" <ekdave@earthlink.net>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [153004] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
Message-ID: <003201c33b75\$9d593ef0\$6801a8c0@dad>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
        charset="Windows-1252"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Rick,

You're entitled to your opinion, and I'm certain that there are those on the list who will agree and disagree with it. Airing your dissatisfaction here on QRP-L won't do any good, though. Take some time to write a calm, rational letter to the FCC telling them that, as a ham, you want them to maintain the CW requirement even if the international treaty requirement no longer exists. Send a copy to your ARRL section manager and the board of directors, too. In many cases, the FCC *does* listen to the wishes of hams in setting regulations for ham radio. The FCC would like the hobby to continue to be self-regulating and therefore has an interest in the opinions of hams when setting amateur radio policy. It's not necessarily irrational for hams to continue to desire that those among their ranks possess a certain level of qualification (but don't expect all hams to necessarily agree with you).

73 de Dave NK0E

P.S. I would point out that the removal of the 13 WPM requirement to access most of the HF spectrum (what was it--two or three years ago now?) appears to not have had the dire consequences that many predicted, at least in my own experience. Whether removing the CW requirement entirely would result in disaster remains to be seen.

-----  
Rick wrote:

It does need to be "STARTED AGAIN" ! Seems like most folks are just to afraid to face the facts, and just cave in to everything that comes down the pike and say nothing ! CW has been a filter for years for those that don't take amateur radio serious enough to put forth some effort to get involved.

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 01:03:46 +0100  
From: M Taylor <mctylr@privacy.nb.ca>  
To: "Davies, Doug A FOR:EX" <Doug.Davies@gems3.gov.bc.ca>  
Cc: QRP-L <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [153005] Re: No Morse Code Test.  
Message-ID: <20030626010346.B20607@pull.privacy.nb.ca>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Disposition: inline

On Wed, Jun 25, 2003 at 04:12:15PM -0700, Davies, Doug A FOR:EX wrote:  
> As one long-time ham so eloquently put it recently..." What we have gained  
> in quantity, we have more than lost in quality"

Thanks. Thanks a lot. I was first licensed in 1999, and have upgraded to my Advanced Qualifications on my own, and have tried numerous times to study for the 5wpm qualifications, and am currently studying. I do find learning morse code tedious and boring, but these sort of messages make me question why I am bothering sometime. They certainly make me feel unwelcome.

Then I think of the Amateur's Code.

-ve1mct

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 19:11:43 -0500 (CDT)  
From: Dale Botkin <dale@botkin.org>

To: Dave Ek <ekdave@earthlink.net>  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [153006] SLA battery rescue?  
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.33.0306251905340.25864-100000@madmax.botkin.org>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

On Wed, 25 Jun 2003, Dave Ek wrote:

> Please, please, please not this topic again.

A big AMEN to that. Sheesh.

As long as CW is not outright banned -- not likely in my lifetime anyway -- I don't worry about it, as whoever doesn't bother to use CW will not be someone I have to deal with.

ObQRP: Has anyone tried "reviving" a badly ill sealed lead-acid battery? I have several 12V/7AH ex-UPS batteries. A couple are good, but a few more seem to be pretty badly sulfated. When I got them they were low on water so I added distilled water. They will charge verrry slowly, but after sitting off the charger a couple days will drift back down to under 12V. I have seen plans for "de-sulfators" on the web, but has anyone here actually built/used/had any luck with one or some other method? Seems a shame to toss them out.

72,  
Dale

--

It's a thankless job, but I've got a lot of Karma to burn off.  
Get a PicoKeyer: <http://www.hamgadgets.com>

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 20:27:15 -0400  
From: Chuck Ludinsky <cjl@mitre.org>  
To: neqrp@jonal.net, qrp-1@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [153007] NEQRP CW Net, Thursday, 26 June 03, 08:30 PM EDT, 3.565 MHz  
Message-ID: <3EFA3DE3.4090204@mitre.org>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

The New England QRP Club's 80M CW net, WQ1RP, will meet again on Thursday, 26 June 2003, at 8:30 PM EDT (00:30Z, 27 June 03) on or near 3.565 MHz. All hams are welcome. Net control operator will be Chuck, K1CL, operating from Chelmsford, MA.

72 DE K1CL,  
Chuck

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 20:02:15 -0400  
From: Rick McKee <kc8aon@juno.com>  
To: qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU  
Subject: [153008] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
Message-ID: <20030625.202537.9190.15.kc8aon@juno.com>

On Wed, 25 Jun 2003 19:37:09 -0400 "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>  
writes:

>One small area would be for people who do NOT have CW skills.  
>People who have a HAM ticket but do NOT have any CW tested  
>ability. It would be an area to LEARN how to work CW.  
>  
>Give the people who come into HAM radio with a technician license  
>but no CW endorsement a place to learn and actually use it.

They do have a place to learn and use it ! It's called 6 and 2 meters  
!

Yes Mr. Yetsko, I'll get back in my hole for now ! <grin>

72/73 de: Rick McKee, KC8AON <> Willow Wood, Ohio <> Grid: EM88rl  
SW 40+, HW-8, Yaesu FT-7, Homebrew 6V6 tube TX & Hallicrafters SW500 RX  
QRP-L #2112, FPqrp #33, AR QRP #269  
QRP'ers DEPEND ON SKILL - NOT RAW POWER !

-----  
The best thing to hit the internet in years - Juno SpeedBand!  
Surf the web up to FIVE TIMES FASTER!  
Only \$14.95/ month - visit [www.juno.com](http://www.juno.com) to sign up today!

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 17:36:31 -0700  
From: "KD7SNX Rob. C" <kd7snx@earthlink.net>  
To: "message post" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [153009] Re: No Morse ode Test



Message-ID: <001501c33b7a\$fdff6d10\$d261b83f@Cravers>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I am surprised and disappointed to the no code test information. I myself and studding the code for one main reason, to use it! It is a barrier to become a general to, but that is not the real reason I am studding it. Others may learn it just so they can become a general, Which is ok, but I believe it is fair to make hams learn it! it is only 5wpm! In other countries the have to learn how to say there call sign in English, so why can't we as hams in the United States learn code. Also my theory is, that if the code is not required then many Hams will not try is so it will slowly, but surly decline in popularity. and I hate to say this but it may become a none-existent thing of the past. CW is also a very affective mode, that is simple, and a lot more reliable then ssb. All in all I hope our Country KEEPS the code requirement.

Rob. C  
KD7SNX

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 20:05:20 -0500  
From: "Burl A. Keeton" <n5duq@juno.com>  
To: qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU  
Subject: [153010] Set QRP-L mail ack  
Message-ID: <20030625.200522.-99929509.0.n5duq@juno.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 19:22:25 -0600 (MDT)  
From: Karl Larsen <k5di@msn.com>  
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [153011] WARC and CW  
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0306251901260.1472-1000000@bucket.dog>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

There is no question that in 2004 the CW requirement from the

WARC will be removed. In it's place they plan to tell member states they can require it if they choose to do so.

The Government moves very slowly. I plan to send to FCC a request to end Element 1 the CW part now. It's stupid to require CW at 5 wpm when we all know it's too slow. So just stop requiring a knowledge of CW at any speed.

I got my Extra Class after sending AND receiving at 20 wpm and passing a written exam. I was happy to know that I was in a small group of hams that did this under the eyes of paid FCC test givers.

Then the change where only 5 wpm is required to get an Extra Class license. This was kind of a bad deal I thought. And I was a ARRL VE who tested several new Extra Class guys. In fact one was a person who got there Tech license when it required a 5 wpm code test and the General exam. He came in and was automatically a General, and he passed the Extra Class written with just 1 wrong answer! And he went from Tech to Extra with one written exam.

So to me it will be better for the VE people to remove all CW requirements and let the new Hams just take multiple guess exams. This will make teaching and testing (I do both) much easier. And I can stop trying to teach the code to people not willing to work hard.

We of the QRP-L group know how much better CW works under poor conditions and we will continue to hunt Fox's and be the people who man the CW position on Field Day and earn 10 points for every contact.

In summary, CW is going to stop being a requirment for obtaining an Amateur Radio License in the next 3 years. We QRP operators will continue to use code at 20 wpm and enjoy it.

--

- Karl Larsen k5di Las Cruces,NM Az ScQRPions -

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 21:24:10 -0400  
From: "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>  
To: <dale@botkin.org>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [153012] Re: SLA battery rescue?  
Message-ID: <003501c33b81\$ce780d20\$0200a8c0@charter.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

> ObQRP: Has anyone tried "reviving" a badly ill sealed lead-acid battery?  
> I have several 12V/7AH ex-UPS batteries. A couple are good, but a few  
> more seem to be pretty badly sulfated. When I got them they were low on  
> water so I added distilled water. They will charge verrry slowly, but  
> after sitting off the charger a couple days will drift back down to  
under  
> 12V. I have seen plans for "de-sulfators" on the web, but has anyone  
here  
> actually built/used/had any luck with one or some other method? Seems a  
> shame to toss them out.

Years ago there was this stuff called VX-7 that would 'recover' a sulfated battery. Did it work? Seemed to work, and work well. For a time. But don't count on the batteries lasting. Still, if the battery was dead and junk, and you could put the stuff in and get another few months...

I haven't seen it on the market since maybe college. (Early 70's)

Mike

-----

Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 20:43:58 -0500  
From: "Michael Melland" <w9wis@charter.net>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>,  
<fpqrp-l@fpqrp.com>, <njqrp@njqrp.org>  
Subject: [153013] OT: Test Equipment For Sale...  
Message-ID: <001b01c33b84\$6d087ae0\$0300a8c0@DFPTZS21>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I need to thin the herd on my test bench and it pains me to sell this but I need the cash and the room.... and with a new aquisition I just made this is now duplicate equipment.

I have an "as new" ... I took it out of the plastic wrapper myself... Tektronix TM-515 test equipment power frame. Complete with both covers (front and rear) and the tilt bail. This is the great Tek travel frame so you can safely transport and store the installed gear. Maybe a small scratch

or two but I can't remember exactly where it is. These frames sold for \$700+ new and Tucker has them well used for \$350.

In the frame are the following plug-ins, most of these were \$500 to \$1000 + each when new:

DM501A - 4.5 digit DMM AC/DC/Current/dB/temperature with the temperature probe and a Fluke RF probe; last certified calibration by my local metcal lab (Fox Valley Metrology) in 2000/01- works perfectly and looks great. VERY nice and accurate... it's one of the only meters I've owned that reads my 10 Ohm lab standard exactly at the correct 10.13 Ohms....

PS503A - Triple power supply - Dual 20vdc 500 ma power supply with a static 5 vdc supply as well. Variable current and voltage for each supply. Works perfect and looks great. Checked by metcal in 2000/01. \$400 alone now at Tucker !

DC504 - Frequency counter/timer 0.1 Hz to at least 80 MHz, 1 us to 999.99 s. Works perfect. One nick out of trim piece not really visable when in frame. Checked by metcal in 2001

FG503 Function Generator - low distortion sine, square and triangle waveforms. Six decade freq. multiplier steps, 1 Hz to 3 MHz. Can be swept 1000:1 with external voltage applied. Calibrated by metcal lab in 2001/02.

I think I have factory manuals for the TM515, DM501A and PS503A.... copies or parts of the manuals on the others as well.

This is a BEAUTIFUL bench set-up with about anything most of us could use.... and it's not crap but top line equipment. I'd like to see someone looking for nice gear buy it... or it's split up and off to eBay I'm afraid. I won't be giving this stuff away... too nice... I'm asking \$520 plus shipping... and anyone who knows anything about this gear will tell you that it's a good deal. If interested drop me an email and I can send you some pictures... first one to say they for sure want it gets it...

Mike

--

Michael Melland, W9WIS  
Winneconne, Wisconsin USA  
EN54pc

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 21:47:13 -0400

From: John Farler <jfarler@peoplepc.com>  
To: qrp-1 <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>, Mike Yetsko <myetsko@insydesw.com>  
Subject: [153014] Re: WARC 2003  
Message-ID: <3EFA50A1.736E86C4@peoplepc.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Good points, and a good plan, Mike.

Unfortunately, I believe it will be a short time until  
there are no required mode subbands...only "gentlemen's agreements"  
as in most all of the rest of the world.

This is the logical next step in deregulation which the FCC loves  
so well. Sure wish it weren't so.

Gives me an excuse not to buy expensive new equipment....

73, John Farler  
K4AVX

> Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 18:38:55 -0400  
> From: "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>  
> To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>  
> Subject: [152986] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
> Message-ID: <00e201c33b6a\$950dfd40\$0200a8c0@charter.net>  
> MIME-Version: 1.0  
> Content-Type: text/plain;  
> charset="iso-8859-1"  
> Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit  
>  
> > I only got one thing to say about that ! "BREAKER BREAKER ONE NINE !"  
>  
> Uh... Excuse me. But if this is the level of how people are going to  
> deal with this issue, then we might as well all go buy CB rigs.  
>  
> About 4 years ago we had some serious pro/con CW debates here. A  
> lot of people got childish, tempers flared, and some people even left.  
>  
> Thing is, PLEASE DON'T START THAT AGAIN!!  
>  
> Especially with remarks like the above.  
>  
> But, this is something we DO need to discuss. And NOT as a  
> pro or anti CW proponent.  
>

> It's already started, the 'consolidation' of the license classes. Now  
> with CW at a single level, and the possible removal of CW as a  
> requirement at all on the horizon, how do we keep our CW space?  
>  
> It certainly won't be by arguing pro or anti CW.  
>  
> It certainly won't be by making childish remarks about other radio  
> services.  
>  
> Face facts people, sooner or later the CW sub-bands will come up  
> for 'parceling'. How do we make sure we have our finger in the pie?  
> I want the equivalent of the car commercial where the car is about to  
> be sold out from under the guy so he licks the car door! I want the  
> equivalent of a dog... Well, you get the idea.  
>  
> So.... I've said this before, and hopefully it will warrant some serious  
> consideration. Just remember, if we do nothing, it will be decided and  
> done FOR us, without our input. Or against our objections.  
>  
> Here's what I'm considering. This is not final, but I want to throw it  
> out  
> for discussion.  
>  
> How about a plan that consolidates the CW areas into two subbands.  
> One band is for 'novice', the other for 'operators'. This is NOT by  
> license class.  
>  
> Initially the two slots will be for 'novice/tech' people, the other for  
> every other class.  
>  
> Notice that I did NOT say 'tech plus'. Because that's the other  
> part of my proposal.  
>  
> I want the 'novice' part of the band to be for people to use CW.  
> I am NOT saying they must have CW endorsement. I'm saying  
> let the no code people onto that area, which will be limited, to  
> LEARN CW. Let the people that have gotten into HAM radio  
> without CW endorsement have an area where they can practice,  
> play, and have fun with CW. From the person on '1st-day' trying  
> to work at less than 1wpm to the guy who just doesn't want to  
> move up to the 'big boys' area. Keep that slice of bandspace  
> held for people LEARNING CW. And CW only. No data, no  
> voice. Nothing but CW. In fact, make it MANUAL CW only.  
> No computers or other 'generated' CW. If the people with no  
> tested CW proficiency want to get onto HF, make them get in  
> with manual keys so they learn it.  
>  
> And hopefully they will have fun. And having fun is the best way

> I think we can pull people into the CW supporting ranks if we do  
> this the right way.  
>  
> The alternative is to chase people away and turn them into people  
> who despise people who operate CW as 'those elitist xxxxx'.  
>  
> People we pull in will hopefully support us.  
>  
> People we chase away may even take an active role in our demise.  
>  
> Make a choice. And make it before it's no longer a choice, but  
> a directive.  
>  
> Mike  
> N1DVJ  
>  
>

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 21:32:53 -0400  
From: David Hinerman <WD8CIV@worldnet.att.net>  
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [153015] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
Message-ID: <5.1.1.6.1.20030625213014.00b28bc8@postoffice.worldnet.att.net>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

>Initially the two slots will be for 'novice/tech' people, the other for  
>every other class.  
>  
>Notice that I did NOT say 'tech plus'. Because that's the other  
>part of my proposal.  
>  
>I want the 'novice' part of the band to be for people to use CW.  
>I am NOT saying they must have CW endorsement. I'm saying  
>let the no code people onto that area, which will be limited, to  
>LEARN CW. Let the people that have gotten into HAM radio  
>without CW endorsement have an area where they can practice,  
>play, and have fun with CW. From the person on '1st-day' trying  
>to work at less than 1wpm to the guy who just doesn't want to  
>move up to the 'big boys' area. Keep that slice of bandspace  
>held for people LEARNING CW. And CW only. No data, no  
>voice. Nothing but CW. In fact, make it MANUAL CW only.  
>No computers or other 'generated' CW. If the people with no  
>tested CW proficiency want to get onto HF, make them get in  
>with manual keys so they learn it.

Mike,

I like the idea of a CW-only segment, but I think enforcing a manual-only policy will be impossible. There -are- people who have a fist as good as a machine.

Dave

-----  
Dave Hinerman  
WD8CIV@att.net

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 21:47:20 -0400  
From: "Robert K. Henderson" <rk@sympatico.ca>  
To: <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [153016] RE: Small Cabin/Hamshack Plans  
Message-ID: <BB1FC679.73D%rk@sympatico.ca>  
Mime-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"  
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

Hi Rob,

What you're looking for is Sheldon Designs (www.sheldondesigns.com). They sell plans for exactly the sort of cabin you're looking for, plus they're half-off at the moment.

GL,

--

Rob Henderson  
KB7PWJ

See my article "Low Power, Crummy Antenna," QST, December 1999. PDF file available from the ARRL website:

<http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/pdf/9812057.pdf>

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 21:42:00 -0400  
From: "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>



To: <mctylr@privacy.nb.ca>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [153017] Re: No Morse Code Test.  
Message-ID: <007201c33b84\$24d27500\$0200a8c0@charter.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

> Thanks. Thanks a lot. I was first licensed in 1999, and have upgraded to  
> my Advanced Qualifications on my own, and have tried numerous times to  
> study for the 5wpm qualifications, and am currently studying. I do find  
> learning morse code tedious and boring, but these sort of messages make  
me  
> question why I am bothering sometime. They certainly make me feel  
unwelcome.  
>  
> Then I think of the Amateur's Code.

You know, this is EXACTLY the thing we have to avoid. How are we  
going to bring new people into CW if this is the impression we make on  
them?

Mike

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 21:43:50 -0400  
From: "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>  
To: <WD8CIV@worldnet.att.net>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [153018] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
Message-ID: <008801c33b84\$65b52cc0\$0200a8c0@charter.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

> Mike,  
>  
> I like the idea of a CW-only segment, but I think enforcing a  
manual-only  
> policy will be impossible. There -are- people who have a fist as good as  
a  
> machine.  
>  
> Dave

But remember, this would only apply to the people there without a CW endorsement. People who have passed a CW test would not be under any restriction.

Mike

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 20:54:46 -0500  
From: "Stuart Rohre" <rohre@arlut.utexas.edu>  
To: <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [153019] QRP Field Day from Austin Tx new call this year!  
Message-ID: <007901c33b85\$eb4f5580\$4e100a0a@rohredt2000>

The multi station effort of Austin clubs united for Field Day will be QRP under the W5 TQ call this year: "Whiskey 5 Tango Quebec".

Another entry will be a non competitive QRO station under W5KA.

We just thought we would give the City County EOC call a work out; although the EOC will be closed and not available for a class F entry.

We will be battery powered in the Field at our usual Anderson High School, Steck and Mesa Av. locations in back of the school. Using our large horizontal loops plus assorted other VHF , GOTA, and Satellite antennas.

Hope we work all of you!

72,  
Stuart  
K5KVH

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 22:43:40 -0400  
From: Peter Burbank <peterlee@qx.net>  
To: myetsko@insydesw.com,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [153020] Re: SLA battery rescue?  
Message-ID: <5.2.0.9.0.20030625215521.009f2560@mail.qx.net>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

Mike and Gangue

The Wal Mart stuff is supposed to be the same stuff as VX-7. I read a

review in Car and Driver a few years ago and it's supposed to be one auto additive that really works. I have revived several batteries using it but it does not seem to work in all cases. I think it has to do with how much sulfate piles up at the bottom of the plates, thus shorting them out. On sealed lead acid sometimes a slow charge (days) will allow recovery. On lead calcium batteries, allowing voltage to drop below about 9.5 volts is not recoverable. (12 V cell). RE. the additive.....best to put it in a new battery according to the instructions. I kept 7 preemie transport incubators up to speed for several years and screened hundreds of batteries. Ad Nauseam. The choppers would not carry motorcycle lead acid batteries and only sealed ones. Liquid filled MC batteries are the toughest These units were an "ICU in A Shoebox" developed at Stanford and in freezing weather had to be right. Strangely, the Japanese batteries (Yeastu and Powersonics) turned out to be much better than US brands. No name but made in Oklahoma. 73 Pete NV4V Ky

At 09:24 PM 6/25/2003, Mike Yetsko wrote:

> > ObQRP: Has anyone tried "reviving" a badly ill sealed lead-acid  
> battery?  
> > I have several 12V/7AH ex-UPS batteries. A couple are good, but a few  
> > more seem to be pretty badly sulfated. When I got them they were low on  
> > water so I added distilled water. They will charge verrry slowly, but  
> > after sitting off the charger a couple days will drift back down to  
> under  
> > 12V. I have seen plans for "de-sulfators" on the web, but has anyone  
> here  
> > actually built/used/had any luck with one or some other method? Seems a  
> > shame to toss them out.  
>  
> Years ago there was this stuff called VX-7 that would 'recover' a  
> sulfated battery. Did it work? Seemed to work, and work well.  
> For a time. But don't count on the batteries lasting. Still, if the  
> battery was dead and junk, and you could put the stuff in and get  
> another few months...  
>  
> I haven't seen it on the market since maybe college. (Early 70's)  
>  
> Mike

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 19:46:28 -0700 (PDT)  
From: Lee Boulineau <n4mvl@yahoo.com>  
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [153021] Re: 6-meter Simple DSB transceiver  
Message-ID: <20030626024628.8612.qmail@web21204.mail.yahoo.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Tom,

Why not look at the Kanga US site - I'm thinking that  
a R2/T2/LMB (Little Motherboard) would be the ticket!!

Lee N4MVL

--- Tom Bowman <wa3rey@comcast.net> wrote:  
> Hi,  
>  
> Looking for some suggestions.  
> I've been reading through the QRP literature trying  
> to come up with bits and  
> pieces of  
> designs I can put together to build a simple DSB QRP  
> transceiver. My  
> thoughts are that it should have:  
>  
> 1. Simple DSB transmitter probably built around an  
> NE602 or 612 IC.  
>  
> 2. DC receiver  
>  
> 3. VFO driving the transmitter and receiver.  
>  
> Question is what frequency would you suggest for the  
> VFO or VXO to get best  
> stability?  
>  
> Should I build a the VFO at 12.5 mHz then follow it  
> with two doubler stages  
> to take me to 50 mHz?  
> Or is it practical to build an oscillator for 50 mHz?  
>

> 73,  
>  
> Tom, WA3REY  
>  
>  
>  
>  
> -----  
> Tom Bowman, WA3REY, Mount Gretna, PA USA  
> ( All mail scanned by Norton 2002)  
>  
>

-----  
Do you Yahoo!?  
SBC Yahoo! DSL - Now only \$29.95 per month!  
<http://sbc.yahoo.com>

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 22:48:07 -0400  
From: "Bob & Janet" <jbcraft@adelphia.net>  
To: <kc8aon@juno.com>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [153022] RE: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
Message-ID: <AGEJJBFLPLHMOECFDINOIEOMCDAA.jbcraft@adelphia.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

>One small area would be for people who do NOT have CW skills.  
>People who have a HAM ticket but do NOT have any CW tested  
>ability. It would be an area to LEARN how to work CW.  
>  
>Give the people who come into HAM radio with a technician license  
>but no CW endorsement a place to learn and actually use it.

Lets see, this sorta sounds like.... Novice Band, General, Advanced and  
Extra Only portions of the bands...

When the Novice and Advanced were desolved, were the sub bands re-farmed?

So, is your plan to open the novice (or what ever it maybe called) sub band  
to no-coded techs? and make a place for them to learn code?

Dumb question, why not let them do this on 50MHz and up, no rules changes  
needed.

Bob K8YS

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 22:49:27 -0400  
From: David Hinerman <WD8CIV@worldnet.att.net>  
To: qrp-1@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [153023] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
Message-ID: <5.1.1.6.1.20030625220646.00b1d2d8@postoffice.worldnet.att.net>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

Mike,

I think they'll need experienced ops to work with, otherwise they'll learn the same bad habits I did. (Grin)

Dave

At 09:43 PM 6/25/2003 -0400, you wrote:

> > Mike,  
> >  
> > I like the idea of a CW-only segment, but I think enforcing a  
> manual-only  
> > policy will be impossible. There -are- people who have a fist as good as  
> a  
> > machine.  
> >  
> > Dave  
>  
> But remember, this would only apply to the people there without a  
> CW endorsement. People who have passed a CW test would not  
> be under any restriction.  
>  
> Mike

-----  
Dave Hinerman  
WD8CIV@att.net

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 22:53:11 -0400  
From: "Bob & Janet" <jbcraft@adelphia.net>  
To: <dale@botkin.org>,

"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [153024] RE: SLA battery rescue?  
Message-ID: <AGEJJBFLPLHMOECFDINOAEONCDAA.jbcraft@adelphia.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="us-ascii"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

ObQRP: Has anyone tried "reviving" a badly ill sealed lead-acid battery?  
I have several 12V/7AH ex-UPS batteries. A couple are good, but a few  
more seem to be pretty badly sulfated. When I got them they were low on  
water so I added distilled water. They will charge verrry slowly, but  
after sitting off the charger a couple days will drift back down to under  
12V. I have seen plans for "de-sulfators" on the web, but has anyone here  
actually built/used/had any luck with one or some other method? Seems a  
shame to toss them out.

72,  
Dale

I saw a device demo at the Great North American RV Rally this month, the  
thing is called a "Battery Minder". I was not able to get many DETAILS other  
then it pulses a 3.3MHz signal into the battery to break up lead crystals,  
and it SEEMED TO WORK.

The reason I know the operating freq is 3.331MHz is that I brought my FT817  
into the building and stood next to the display and dialed around until I  
found a pulse signal.

SUPPOSEDLY, there are "thousands" of truckers using this device to keep  
thier batteries "clean".

Bob K8YS

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 22:53:25 EDT  
From: W0rw@aol.com  
To: qrp-1@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [153025] New PLC QRM Article  
Message-ID: <c5.35959e9b.2c2bba25@aol.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

There is a 2 part article on PLC Interference in the 2003 Annual Reference Guide of the Compliance Engineering magazine.

<http://www.ce-mag.com>

on P. 59 there is a study of the potential interference of Amateur Radio Reception caused by the Power Line Communication systems written by Diethard Hansen from Germany.

Paul W0rw

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 20:59:40 -0600  
From: "Dave Ek" <ekdave@earthlink.net>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [153026] FD Plans for N0TU, N0MHQ, and NK0E  
Message-ID: <005701c33b8e\$fd01bc10\$6801a8c0@dad>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
                charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

All,

Steve N0TU, Steve N0MHQ, and I (Dave, NK0E) will once again be taking part in FD from the forest near Colorado Springs. After being shut out by the forest fires last year, this year we'll be in the Lost Creek Wilderness near Ute Creek, at an elevation of about 9300 feet. You can see our planned location at

<http://www.topozone.com/map.asp?z=13&n=4339062&e=4541111&s=25>

N0TU and I will be running our 4-band K1's with built-in ATU's, and N0MHQ will be using his SST for 20m. N0TU will be using a half-size G5RV, while I will be using a full-size version I just slapped together. There are some monster pine trees at the site, so our antenna motto this year is "hang 'em high!" N0MHQ will be using his inverted Y for 20m.

Hope to work you--good luck!

73 de Dave NK0E

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 23:13:15 -0400  
From: "Bob & Janet" <jbcraft@adelphia.net>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [153027] WHO IS THIS?



Message-ID: <AGEJJBFLPLHMOECFDINOCEOPCDAA.jbcraft@adelphia.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="us-ascii"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Who is this?? zekiko@uol.com.br

I just got an email from some robot saying that I need to confirm that I sent this address an email.

Does anyone know this "person" or is this address miner.

Bob K8YS

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 22:27:29 -0500  
From: Bud Rogers <budr@sirinet.net>  
To: qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU  
Subject: [153028] Re: WHO IS THIS?  
Message-ID: <200306252227.29533.budr@sirinet.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit  
Content-Disposition: inline

On Wednesday 25 June 2003 22:13, Bob & Janet wrote:

> Who is this?? zekiko@uol.com.br  
>  
> I just got an email from some robot saying that I need to confirm  
> that I sent this address an email.  
>  
> Does anyone know this "person" or is this address miner.

I would file that address under "Spammer". I wouldn't answer it.

--  
Bud Rogers <budr@sirinet.net> KD5SZ

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 20:35:33 -0700  
From: Bob Nielsen <nielsen@oz.net>  
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>

Subject: [153029] Re: WARC 2003  
Message-ID: <20030626033533.GA19138@n7xy.net>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Disposition: inline

On Wed, Jun 25, 2003 at 09:47:13PM -0400, John Farler wrote:

> Good points, and a good plan, Mike.  
>  
> Unfortunately, I believe it will be a short time until  
> there are no required mode subbands...only "gentlemen's agreements"  
> as in most all of the rest of the world.

It works in most countries. I wonder if it would here, but then maybe we aren't all gentlemen.

Bob, N7XY

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 21:36:46 -0600  
From: "Dave Ek" <ekdave@earthlink.net>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [153030] Re: WHO IS THIS?  
Message-ID: <006101c33b94\$2bb90450\$6801a8c0@dad>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
                charset="Windows-1252"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

It's the latest in anti-spam technology. If your email address isn't in this person's address book, you're sent an email to give you the chance to confirm that you're not a spammer. The idea is that if you're a bulk mail spammer you won't bother with the manual process of confirming. If you do confirm, you go in the person's address book and you don't have to confirm again.

Personally, I think this is an overreaction to the spam problem...

73 de Dave NK0E

-----  
Bob wrote:

Who is this?? zekiko@uol.com.br

I just got an email from some robot saying that I need to confirm that I sent this address an email.

Does anyone know this "person" or is this address miner.

Bob K8YS

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 23:53:47 -0400  
From: Ed Tanton <n4xy@earthlink.net>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [153031] Re: WHO IS THIS?  
Message-ID: <5.2.1.1.2.20030625234022.02daba68@pop.earthlink.net>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

NO it isn't. It is a robot looking for GOOD addresses. If you had sent him an email, different ballgame. But since you didn't, it's just hoping you'll confirm that YOUR email address got to SOMEONE. IF you answer it-even with "Don't send me any more emails"-and you're ON the list... and the next... and the next... well... you get the picture.

The answer to minimum turbulence from SPAM is to always think before you reply... have I ever heard from this person? Did I send him an email? NEVER hit that reply line to remove yourself from their list(s). That confirms a 'live' consumer address. Put that address into your spam filter, and forget it until the next as\*h\*le comes along with something similar (but with a different email address.)

SPAMNIX <<http://www.spamnix.com/>> (15-day free trial ) is the 2nd best \$30 I've spent in 2002/2003 (Norton SystemWorks being #1). It only works with (and installs right into) EUDORA <<http://www.eudora.com/>>. Give that a try too... great email program with free trial.

73 Ed Tanton N4XY <n4xy@earthlink.net>

Ed Tanton N4XY  
189 Pioneer Trail  
Marietta, GA 30068-3466

website: <http://www.n4xy.com>

All emails <IN> & <OUT> checked by  
Norton AntiVirus with AutoProtect

LM: ARRL QCWA AMSAT & INDEXA;  
SEDXC NCDXA GACW QRP-ARCI  
OK-QRP QRP-L #758 K2 (FT) #00057

-----  
"He that gives up a little liberty to gain  
temporary security will lose both and  
deserve neither".  
--Benjamin Franklin

"Suppose you were an idiot ...  
and suppose you were a member of  
Congress... but I repeat myself."  
--Mark Twain  
-----

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 23:03:40 -0500  
From: "Tim, N9PUZ" <n9puz@arrl.net>  
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [153032] RE: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
Message-ID: <200362523651.383448@EOS>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

On Wed, 25 Jun 2003 22:48:07 -0400, Bob & Janet wrote:

>So, is your plan to open the novice (or what ever it maybe=  
called)  
>sub band to no-coded techs? and make a place for them to learn=  
code?  
>Dumb question, why not let them do this on 50MHz and up, no=  
rules  
>changes needed.

I think that one thing that really drives new hams to learn and  
upgrade is the thrill of a longer distance contact than the=  
local  
repeater normally affords. 50 MHz, etc. is a great place for  
people to learn and hone their skills but ONLY IF THERE ARE=  
OTHER

STATIONS TO WORK ALL OR MOST OF THE TIME, not just during an occasional opening. 50 MHz, if you're not buying higher priced, newer equipment, also means the radio you "learn" on won't be what you want/need when you've upgraded.

I don't know what I would offer up as a set of rules, etc. for implementing it but I do see value in making a small area of something like 40 Meter CW available to a Tech (without code.)= This would give them a place to practice and work stations= interesting distances away on a consistent basis day or night, all throughout= the year. It also allows them to purchase or build a reasonably= priced radio set that they will continue to use when they've upgraded.

This past week there were several 2 Meter band openings from the Midwest to a few of the Eastern States. This was the first opportunity several local Techs from last Fall's class had to experience "DX" on their own--two of them that I know of worked= Virginia stations on 2M FM. They are some seriously motivated individuals right now. I feel letting them fumble their way through some= really slow CW contacts on a little sliver of one of the lower bands= would really keep their appetites wet for the privileges to work in= the workhorse DX bands.

Tim, N9PUZ

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 20:59:03 -0700  
From: "John\_K7FD" <john\_k7fd@cablespeed.com>  
To: <kc8aon@juno.com>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [153033] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
Message-ID: <001901c33b97\$4888a100\$3801a8c0@rvmobile>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

11 meters? How 'bout 2 meters? They've been sneakin' in the back door...

73 John K7FD

> Don't believe me ? Tune around on 11 meters and  
> listen to some of these clowns that are chomping at the bits for them to  
> drop the code requirement so they can get on the bands and wreak havoc !

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 00:30:39 -0400  
From: Peter Burbank <peterlee@qx.net>  
To: budr@sirinet.net,  
    "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [153034] Re: WHO IS THIS?  
Message-ID: <5.2.0.9.0.20030626002840.009f9c00@mail.qx.net>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

Got the same one. Dumped it ASAP.  
Pete NV4V

At 11:27 PM 6/25/2003, Bud Rogers wrote:  
>On Wednesday 25 June 2003 22:13, Bob & Janet wrote:  
> > Who is this?? zekiko@uol.com.br  
> >  
> > I just got an email from some robot saying that I need to confirm  
> > that I sent this address an email.  
> >  
> > Does anyone know this "person" or is this address miner.  
>  
>I would file that address under "Spammer". I wouldn't answer it.  
>  
>--  
>Bud Rogers           <budr@sirinet.net>           KD5SZ

-----  
Date: Wed, 25 Jun 2003 21:33:56 -0700  
From: "Thomas Kuehl" <ac7a@earthlink.net>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [153035] FOX: AC7A June 22, 2003 Final Log  
Message-ID: <005901c33b9c\$27e71b20\$120110ac@texas6oef4glwm>  
MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

All,

Well, I received one correction. It is the AB9CA entry at 2216 UTC. Dave's correct power was 5W. So, here is the final log for my June 22, 2003 FOX operation.

Thanks for a good hunt, and I'll be "foxing" around again in a few weeks!

Best Regards, Thomas - AC7A (Tucson)

Time	Station	RST	RST	SPC	Name	Pwr(W)
UTC	Call	Sent	Rcv'd			

2200	N5ZE	559	559	TX	Lew	5
2201	KB7WW	559	559	OR	Art	5
2202	W5YR	559	559	TX	George	5
2203	K5JHP	559	559	TX	Bill	5
2204	K5SR	559	559	TX	Dale	5
2205	W4NJK	559	559	CA	Charlie	5
2206	K5EOA	559	559	LA	Wayne	5
2206	K2ZN	559	539	NY	Al	5
2207	AA50	559	559	LA	Vern	5
2208	W5TB	559	559	TX	Doc	5
2208	KK5NA	559	559	TX	Joe	5
2209	NA8M	559	559	MI	John	5
2210	K5OI	559	559	OK	Tim	5
2211	N0JRN	559	559	MO	Jerry	5
2212	KG6WP	579	559	CA	Howard	5
2213	K4MF	559	559	FL	Gary	5
2214	K0EVZ	599	599	ND	Doc	4
2215	N5YFC	559	559	LA	Wayne	5
2216	AB9CA	559	559	AL	Dave	5
2217	W6AZ	559	559	CA	Ron	5
2218	N1IX	559	559	NH	Dave	5
2218	K8DD	559	549	MI	Hank	3
2220	K3PH	559	559	PA	Bob	5
2222	VA6MJT	559	599	AB	Mike	5
2222	W3CD	559	559	CA	Bob	5

2223 W0UFO 559 559 MN Mert 5  
2224 K7TQ 559 559 ID Randy 5  
2225 N1TP 559 559 FL Tom 5  
2226 N5IB 559 559 LA Jim 5  
2228 W5KDJ 559 559 TX Wayne 0.4

2230 AF4PS 559 559 FL Mac 5  
2231 N0WX 559 579 MN Mike 5  
2233 KW4JS 559 559 TN John 5  
2234 K3ESE 339 559 MD Lloyd 5  
2238 WB7AEI 339 349 WA Phil 5

2243 K5TR 599 599 TX Geo 5  
2244 N7MFB 559 559 WA Bill 5  
2245 WQ8RP 339 569 MI Hank 1  
2246 K9NX 559 449 IN Tim 5  
2250 K8CV 579 579 MI Walt 5

2253 K0MAX 339 339 MN Max 5  
2254 K7FD 599 579 OR John 5  
2255 WA8BXN 339 339 OH Mike 5  
2258 K5BGB 339 559 TX Rod 5  
2259 AF4LQ 559 559 KY Mike 5

2300 N0IT 579 579 MO Dave 5  
2309 W4YN 339 559 NC Tim 5  
2310 NF0R 339 559 MO Dave 1  
2318 KQ5U 559 559 TX Terry 5  
2325 W0MPR 559 559 CO Gregg 5

2329 AC7A 559 559 AZ Tom 5

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 01:58:50 -0400  
From: Peter Burbank <peterlee@qx.net>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [153036] RE: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
Message-ID: <5.2.0.9.0.20030626011807.009f95f0@mail.qx.net>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed



....there is some pretty nice code on 6 Meters:-)  
I don't think code is all that hard...I actually dream in code sometimes.  
One comment about this discussion is that some of these folks can barely  
spell so  
not surprising that they can't learn cw. I hope none think I am assuming a  
role as net police  
but could not resist making a comment.  
I really don't mind matching speeds of slow ops and sure welcome those who  
are trying to get a handle  
on CW.....nice music once you lay back and copy.  
I used to copy verbatim but now just jot down topics and do head copy on  
words....makes it far more relaxing  
and fun. The crucial barrier is to start with words such as "the" and  
frequently review the CW abbreviations  
used on CW..  
'nuf preaching to the choir:-)  
Pete NV4V.....73 to all

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 02:27:29 -0400  
From: Peter Burbank <peterlee@qx.net>  
To: qrp-1@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [153037] Fwd: RF Communications book online  
Message-ID: <5.2.0.9.0.20030626022248.009f8a10@mail.qx.net>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

some antenna info round about from N4ALG who found it from DB products  
Pete NV4V

>X-Sender: n4alg@mail.qx.net  
>X-Mailer: QUALCOMM Windows Eudora Version 5.1.1  
>Date: Sun, 22 Jun 2003 11:24:03 -0400  
>Reply-To: Amateur Radio Emergency Service Notification  
><WB4CWF@LSV.UKY.EDU>  
>Sender: Amateur Radio Emergency Service Notification  
><WB4CWF@LSV.UKY.EDU>  
>From: Bill Cotter <n4alg@QX.NET>  
>Subject: RF Communications book online  
>To: WB4CWF@LSV.UKY.EDU  
>  
>Here is a very nice online book from Decibel Products inc on the  
>care and feeding of RF Systems including repeaters:

>  
><http://www.decibelproducts.com/collateral/abouttrfcommunications.pdf>  
>  
>  
>-----  
>Bill Cotter, N4ALG                      E-mail: n4alg@qx.net  
>173 Carolyn Lane                      Home: (859) 887-5563  
>Nicholasville, KY 40356-9340      Work: (859) 323-6474  
>  
>ARRL    ARCI #9878    QRP1 #1646    QCWA #29393    FISTS #4535  
>-----

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 08:08:03 +0100  
From: "Leon Heller" <leon\_heller@hotmail.com>  
To: <jbcraft@adelphia.net>,  
      "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [153038] Re: SLA battery rescue?  
Message-ID: <LAW15-DAV12VbVcCWIg000025b6@hotmail.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
              charset="Windows-1252"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

----- Original Message -----  
From: "Bob & Janet" <jbcraft@adelphia.net>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2003 3:53 AM  
Subject: RE: SLA battery rescue?

> I saw a device demo at the Great North American RV Rally this month, the  
> thing is called a "Battery Minder". I was not able to get many DETAILS  
other  
> then it pulses a 3.3MHz signal into the battery to break up lead crystals,  
> and it SEEMED TO WORK.  
>

I vaguely remember from about 40 years ago that adding an aspirin was  
supposed to help with sulphated batteries. It won't do anything, of course.

73, Leon

--

Leon Heller, G1HSM  
leon\_heller@hotmail.com  
[http://www.geocities.com/leon\\_heller](http://www.geocities.com/leon_heller)

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 02:12:18 -0500  
From: "George, W5YR" <w5yr@att.net>  
To: "kk5na" <kk5na@kk5na.com>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [153039] Re: HAMCOM 2003 Pictures  
Message-ID: <036701c33bb2\$47e71590\$0401a8c0@PS>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Thanks, Joe and Barb for the great pix!

73/72, George  
Amateur Radio W5YR - the Yellow Rose of Texas  
Fairview, TX 30 mi NE of Dallas in Collin county EM13QE  
"In the 57th year and it just keeps getting better!"  
<mailto:w5yr@att.net>

----- Original Message -----  
From: "kk5na" <kk5na@kk5na.com>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2003 9:39 AM  
Subject: HAMCOM 2003 Pictures

> Here are some pictures of the QRP events from last weekend at the National  
> QRP Meet at HamCom in Arlington Texas. Pictures included show the tables  
> in  
> the FleaMarket Area, the Seminars and attendees, the two QRP dinner  
> get-togethers and the builders contest.  
>  
>  
> Pictures from HamCom 2003  
> [http://www.kk5na.com/pics2003static/hamcom\\_frame.htm](http://www.kk5na.com/pics2003static/hamcom_frame.htm)  
>  
> Same pictures in a SlideShow  
> [http://www.kk5na.com/pics2003/hamcom\\_frame.htm](http://www.kk5na.com/pics2003/hamcom_frame.htm)

>  
>  
> Joe KK5NA  
>

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 03:47:52 -0400  
From: "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>  
To: <jbcraft@adelphia.net>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [153040] Re: WHO IS THIS?  
Message-ID: <013b01c33bb7\$72e881c0\$0200a8c0@charter.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

> Who is this?? zekiko@uol.com.br  
>  
> I just got an email from some robot saying that I need to confirm that I  
> sent this address an email.  
>  
> Does anyone know this "person" or is this address miner.  
>  
> Bob K8YS

What has happened is someone on the list is at that address.  
EVERYTHING that is posted is going to an address.

It APPEARS that all mail to that address must be 'confirmed' as not  
being SPAM. Maybe software at that address is being triggered by  
seeing all the email passing through that has a common address in  
the 'To:' header of QRP-L.

Or, it is possible it's a SPAM sniffing trap. But then, why bother?  
You could get the same results by just subscribing to QRP-L and  
collecting the addresses that way.

Mike

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 03:54:58 -0400  
From: "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>  
To: <n4xy@earthlink.net>,

"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [153041] Re: WHO IS THIS?  
Message-ID: <016601c33bb8\$4ee11160\$0200a8c0@charter.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

> NO it isn't. It is a robot looking for GOOD addresses. If you had sent him  
> an email, different ballgame. But since you didn't, it's just hoping you'll  
> confirm that YOUR email address got to SOMEONE. IF you answer it-even with  
> "Don't send me any more emails"-and you're ON the list... and the next...  
> and the next... well... you get the picture.

If it was a collection robot, it already would HAVE our addresses from being a member of the list.

You know, someone should 'snapshot' the list membership right now, and see who joined it last evening when this started.

I suppose someone could easily forge a header and manually unsubscribe the person until they learn how to set up their software.

Mike

-----

Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 03:25:52 -0700 (PDT)  
From: Bill ROWLETT <kc4atu@yahoo.com>  
To: kc8aon@juno.com,  
Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [153042] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
Message-ID: <20030626102552.40320.qmail@web14205.mail.yahoo.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Tune the EXTRA CLASS portion of any band now and you will hear the same thing. CW or no CW makes little difference in the quality of operator. What does make a difference is each of us need to ELMER the new people. Sitting in front of the computer a complaining will not help. Get out and ELMER all new people in the proper ways to operate.

Hams have become lazy, let the other guy do it is the way of life now. With that attitude, we get what we deserve. ELMER, ELMER, ELMER

73, Bill kc4atu

-----  
Do you Yahoo!?  
SBC Yahoo! DSL - Now only \$29.95 per month!  
<http://sbc.yahoo.com>

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 06:51:29 -0400  
From: "Michael Bower N4NMR" <bowerm@ix.netcom.com>  
To: "Qrp-L" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [153043] SuSe 8.2 Pro Linux CDs  
Message-ID: <ODE0IKLMAJFGCNMOGAGIKEPGDCAA.bowerm@ix.netcom.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
                charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I have copied the SuSe 8.2 Pro Linux CDs. I will send out two copies.

One is spoken for but I will send the second set to the first person to respond to this e-mail with a snailmail address.

If you can, please copy and send a set to someone else.

Michael Bower N4NMR

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 07:12:52 -0400  
From: "Michael C. Boatright" <ko4wx@mindspring.com>  
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [153044] Re: OT: Small Cabin/Hamshack Plans  
Message-ID: <5.0.2.1.2.20030626071207.02620728@pop.mindspring.com>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

Talk to Tony Fishpool, G4WIF. He's got the coolest hamshack I've ever seen...

72 de Mike, K04WX

Michael C. Boatright

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 07:17:56 -0400  
From: Vin Santis <vsantis@earthlink.net>  
To: "Tentec (E-mail)" <tentec@contesting.com>  
Cc: "QRP List (E-mail)" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [153045] TT Scout FS  
Message-ID: <01C33BB3.23B8BC40.vsantis@earthlink.net>

Hi,

I have a 3 year old Scout for sale. It is in good electrical and physical condition. There are 6 modules for it 75/80, 40, 30, 20, 18 and 15 meters. I have original manual, but not original packaging. I'll ship in conus for \$400. Please contact off line or call 860-379-7221 before 8PM EDT.  
Thanks,

Vince Santis, N1VS  
Winsted, CT  
vsantis@earthlink.net

---  
Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.  
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (<http://www.grisoft.com>).  
Version: 6.0.491 / Virus Database: 290 - Release Date: 6/18/03

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 07:38:55 -0400  
From: "Lee Mairs" <lmairs@direcway.com>  
To: "qrpl" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [153046] Vertically challenged antenna choice  
Message-ID: <03b501c33bd7\$866acee0\$2202a8c0@J4>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
                charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I have a small cottage on an island that is off the grid. It is also vertically challenged as the only trees are scrub jobs about 12 -15 feet high. I had a terrible radio time there during the middle of June and now that I've found that my radio really does work, I'm not sure to blame it on

poor band condx or a really rotten antenna. My house is on the highest point of the island - about 6 feet above sea level.

Vertical support for wires is difficult because even the small trees are surrounded, and covered by dense patches of poison ivy. I currently have an N2CX Shorty from the NJQRP club with their tuner replaced by a big Murch. tuner. I'm having trouble with the BLT I built just for this purpose, but that is another issue.

My question is should I put up a multi-band vertical, and if so, which one (GAP, Bencher, other, Home brew), or will a multi-band dipole fed with ladder line and no more than 25 feet in the air be a better antenna. This place is on salt water and is surrounded by salt water marsh. I keep thinking that VOA used verticals, but all I hear suggests that a dipole is better than anything (other than lots of aluminum arrayed on a long boom.)

73 de Lee

KM4YY/8

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 05:44:06 -0600 (MDT)  
From: Karl Larsen <k5di@msn.com>  
To: "George, W5YR" <w5yr@att.net>  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [153047] Re: HAMCOM 2003 Pictures  
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0306260530280.1318-100000@bucket.dog>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Here is a fixed address for the static pictures.

On Thu, 26 Jun 2003, George, W5YR wrote:

> Thanks, Joe and Barb for the great pix!  
>  
> 73/72, George  
> Amateur Radio W5YR - the Yellow Rose of Texas  
> Fairview, TX 30 mi NE of Dallas in Collin county EM13QE  
> "In the 57th year and it just keeps getting better!"  
> <mailto:w5yr@att.net>  
>

By the way George are you in any of those pictures. I didn't see a hat with W5YR on it.



>  
>  
> ----- Original Message -----  
> From: "kk5na" <kk5na@kk5na.com>  
> To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
> Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2003 9:39 AM  
> Subject: HAMCOM 2003 Pictures  
>  
>  
> > Here are some pictures of the QRP events from last weekend at the National  
> > QRP Meet at HamCom in Arlington Texas. Pictures included show the tables  
> in  
> > the FleaMarket Area, the Seminars and attendees, the two QRP dinner  
> > get-togethers and the builders contest..  
> >  
> >  
> > Pictures from HamCom 2003  
> > [http://www.kk5na.com/pics2003static/hamcom\\_frame.htm](http://www.kk5na.com/pics2003static/hamcom_frame.htm)  
> >  
> > Same pictures in a SlideShow  
> > [http://www.kk5na.com/pics2003/hamcom\\_frame.htm](http://www.kk5na.com/pics2003/hamcom_frame.htm)  
> >  
> >  
> > Joe KK5NA  
> >  
>

--

- Karl Larsen k5di Las Cruces,NM Az ScQRPions -

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 07:49:12 -0400  
From: Alex <kr1st@amsat.org>  
To: peterlee@qx.net  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [153048] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
Message-ID: <3EFADDB8.309F1791@amsat.org>  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

Peter Burbank wrote:

>  
> ....there is some pretty nice code on 6 Meters.:-)  
> I don't think code is all that hard...I actually dream in code sometimes.

Dunno....some of the dreams I have aren't half as exciting in code. :)

> 'nuf preaching to the choir:-)

Again...dunno. I have yet to make a CW QSO as I'm still learning. I passed the 5wpm test and worked my way up to 15 wpm using the Koch program almost every day for a few sessions. Just now I've started copying code of the air which has me thrown down in speed a few notches, but it'll get back up there. Folk who are not proficient in code benefit a lot from comments like you made.

Not everyone in the choir is singing yet, Pete. :)

73,  
--Alex KR1ST

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 12:53:10 +0100  
From: "Jack Bennett" <J.Bennett@lboro.ac.uk>  
To: <lmairs@direcway.com>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [153049] Re: Vertically challenged antenna choice  
Message-ID: <00c701c33bd9\$83e9b760\$98327d9e@pc2000eljb5>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hi Lee,

Yours is a problem faced by thousands of amateurs worldwide.

I think that the dipole at 25 feet would make a super NVIS antenna system, but that is no good for much over 7 Mhz. I would also suggest that you consider a Magnetic Loop Antenna constructed from as large a copper tube as you can get , and tuned with a vacuum variable capacitor. There are plenty of articles regarding their construction for you to look at. This would allow you to have a good antenna suitable for 10 Mhz and up and it does not have to be high in the air.

I hope you find these comments of some use, and I wish you every success in finding a suitable antenna.

with kind regards,

72/73

Jack  
G3PVG.

----- Original Message -----

From: "Lee Mairs" <lmairs@direcway.com>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2003 12:38 PM  
Subject: Vertically challenged antenna choice

> I have a small cottage on an island that is off the grid. It is also  
> vertically challenged as the only trees are scrub jobs about 12 -15 feet  
> high. I had a terrible radio time there during the middle of June and now  
> that I've found that my radio really does work, I'm not sure to blame it  
on  
> poor band condx or a really rotten antenna. My house is on the highest  
> point of the island - about 6 feet above sea level.  
>  
> Vertical support for wires is difficult because even the small trees are  
> surrounded, and covered by dense patches of poison ivy. I currently have  
an  
> N2CX Shorty from the NJQRP club with their tuner replaced by a big Murch.  
> tuner. I'm having trouble with the BLT I built just for this purpose, but  
> that is another issue.  
>  
> My question is should I put up a multi-band vertical, and if so, which one  
> (GAP, Bencher, other, Home brew), or will a multi-band dipole fed with  
> ladder line and no more than 25 feet in the air be a better antenna. This  
> place is on salt water and is surrounded by salt water marsh. I keep  
> thinking that VOA used verticals, but all I hear suggests that a dipole is  
> better than anything (other than lots of aluminum arrayed on a long boom.)  
> 73 de Lee  
> KM4YY/8  
>  
>  
>  
>

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 06:12:08 -0600  
From: "Dave Ek" <ekdave@earthlink.net>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [153050] Re: WHO IS THIS?  
Message-ID: <001a01c33bdc\$2a6daae0\$6801a8c0@dad>  
MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="Windows-1252"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Ed,

Everyone who's posting to the list is probably getting these "confirm" emails. Mine came as a reply to each message I posted to QRP-L last night, with a Re: attached to my original subject line. It's not an email harvester. So far I've received four of them (I'm too stubborn to confirm on a list like this...).

73 de Dave NK0E

-----

Ed wrote:

NO it isn't. It is a robot looking for GOOD addresses. If you had sent him an email, different ballgame. But since you didn't, it's just hoping you'll confirm that YOUR email address got to SOMEONE. IF you answer it-even with "Don't send me any more emails"-and you're ON the list... and the next... and the next... well... you get the picture.

-----

Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 07:12:22 -0500  
From: mark.milburn@juno.com  
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [153051] Iowa QRP Club CW Net  
Message-ID: <20030626.071225.-1244467.1.MARK.MILBURN@juno.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

What's more fun...meeting old friends on the air, or meeting new folks for the first time? I don't know, they are both fun, and a net allows you to do both.

Despite the loud QRN at about S 7-8 and occasional lightning crashes from the East at S9 +30, we eked out another net Wednesday night

The old members checking in again were

KQ0I Mark Iowa  
WA8BXN Mike Ohio

WB0MBI Jerry Iowa  
K5QLF Fred Texas

and some new friends that dropped by were:

K0MAX Max Minnesota  
K8DD Hank Michigan

Many thanks to all, old or new. and I hope you can come again next week and bring some of your old friends with you.

72 Mark KQ0I  
Des Moines, Iowa

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 05:45:14 -0700  
From: John Kuklewicz N7ZN <kukl@cybrquest.com>  
To: dale@botkin.org  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [153052] Re: SLA battery rescue?  
Message-ID: <3EFAEADA.1030707@cybrquest.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Dale, I live off grid and use one of the 'DeSulfator' units on my battery pack. Reports are that they really do help.

One of my neighbors reports that he is -successfully-recovering a set of very large Exide cells (flooded lead acid, 100A-hr, 4 V units). He bases his report on both Hygrometer readings and measured increases in Amp-hour capacity. These cells were stored for a very long time with no maintenance trickle charge and initially exhibited much reduced amp hour capacity as a result of sulfation.

The unit(s) he is using are like the one in the link below: <http://www.solar-electric.com/ds-500.html>  
(Actually, he is using he larger 1000 Amp-hr units, but the smaller unit is more likely suitable for your application.

A similar unit is also sold under the 'PowerPulse' name.

Homepower recently had an article on this technology,  
including a circuit design for a homebrew unit.  
url: <http://www.homepower.com/files/desulfator.pdf>

Good luck with your batteries...

best regards;

John N7ZN

Dale Botkin wrote:

> On Wed, 25 Jun 2003, Dave Ek wrote:

> ...snip...

> ObQRP: Has anyone tried "reviving" a badly ill sealed lead-acid battery?

> I have several 12V/7AH ex-UPS batteries. A couple are good, but a few

> more seem to be pretty badly sulfated. When I got them they were low on

> water so I added distilled water. They will charge verrrry slowly, but

> after sitting off the charger a couple days will drift back down to under

> 12V. I have seen plans for "de-sulfators" on the web, but has anyone here

> actually built/used/had any luck with one or some other method? Seems a  
> shame to toss them out.

>

> 72,

> Dale

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 07:11:45 -0600

From: Tim Groat <tcgroat@earthlink.net>

To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu

Subject: [153053] Re: How good is the "mod" for 60 meters?

Message-ID: <5.1.1.6.2.20030626065848.00a350c0@mail.earthlink.net>

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

The question is whether the stock transmitter output filters will give enough harmonic rejection for 5MHz operation. If you're using the 7Mhz band output filter in a typical "no-tune-up" solid state transceiver, the 10MHz second harmonic isn't very far down the filter rejection slope. If the rig doesn't have a filter suitable for 5MHz use, you'll need to add one.

72,

--Tim (KR0U)

>Karl Larsen <k5di@msn.com>:

>

> Just get out part 97 of the rules and follow what that says.  
>It's been awhile for me too, but I think hams need to have harmonics and  
>things down to -26 Db from the carrier and -26 is also used elsewhere.  
>On the SSB Bandwidth I think the psk31 test is good. On the waterfall  
>you can see the noise bandwidth on USB and if it drops off at 2900 Hz  
>your good I think.

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 08:52:58 -0500  
From: Chuck Carpenter <w5usj@9plus.net>  
To: <fpqrp-l@mpna.com>, qrp-l@lehigh.edu, QRPp-I@yahoogroups.com  
Subject: [153054] Field Day w/Rains ARA es QRP  
Message-ID: <3.0.2.32.20030626085258.0084d390@mail.9plus.net>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Field Day QRPers,

QRP operation and CW with the Rains ARA will most likely be just me. We'll be using the call K5IJT. The QRP setup will be an FT-817 with a Z-Matcher into whatever antennas we can get launched. Hopefully a W9INN 4 bander INV and an 88 ft EDZ suspended in the trees as a minimum.

I'll be doing mostly CW and concentrating around the QRP calling freq's on any band that is open from high to low (except maybe 80 and 160). (S&P otherwise.)

We'll be trying 6 and 2 meters also -- hope for a good strong Es opening on 6.

If folks will be looking for piggie #s, mine is 601 and rather rare so far... [g]

Be looking forward to getting many familiar calls in the log.

Best wishes for a great Field Day weekend to all...

Chuck Carpenter, W5USJ, Point, Rains Co., TX - EM22cv, NETXQRP #1  
QRP-ARCI #5422, QRP-L #1306, QRPp-I #115, ARS #1280, SOC #57  
Zombie #759, COG #11, 6 Club #201, FP #601 <http://www.netxqrp.org>

-----

Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 09:04:45 -0500  
From: Chuck Carpenter <w5usj@9plus.net>  
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [153055] QRPer Ben, NW7NX, Award in QST  
Message-ID: <3.0.2.32.20030626090445.00836a80@mail.9plus.net>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Folks,

Fox hunters will recognize Ben, NW7NX, as one of the exemplary Foxii over the past couple of seasons.

Ben received the first Goldbard Memorial Scholarship award at HamCom this past weekend.

The \*At the Foundation\* column in the July 2003 QST carried the story.

Congratulations, Ben, and best wishes for your future success.

Chuck Carpenter, W5USJ, Point, Rains Co., TX - EM22cv, NETXQRP #1  
QRP-ARCI #5422, QRP-L #1306, QRPp-I #115, ARS #1280, SOC #57  
Zombie #759, COG #11, 6 Club #201, FP #601 <http://www.netxqrp.org>

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 07:46:52 -0700  
From: "Lee Hopper" <leehopp@msn.com>  
To: "Posting to the list QRP-L" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>,  
<lmairs@direcway.com>  
Subject: [153056] Re: Vertically challenged antenna choice  
Message-ID: <BAY4-DAV113fonNWeHa0000ae26@hotmail.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Lee -

Vertical dipoles near salt water have worked very well.

See the Force12 website: <http://www.force12inc.com/>

or the team vertical website at: <http://www.k2kw.com/> - they shattered world records and did it QRP!

73 -



Lee Hopper, NB7F  
Portland, OR  
FD w/ Portland Am Radio Club, W7LT

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 09:10:36 -0600  
From: Tim Groat <tcgroat@earthlink.net>  
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [153057] Re: No Morse Code Test  
Message-ID: <5.1.1.6.2.20030626072557.00a38490@mail.earthlink.net>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

While I prefer CW, I also think removing the code requirement is not as bad as the present 5 WPM multiple-choice "anti-code" test. Learning just enough to pass at 5 WPM encourages bad habits, such as counting dits and dahs or visualizing dots and dashes on a flash card. This leads to the frustrating "wall" at 8 to 10 WPM, when the brain can't keep up with these manipulations. So passing the 5 WPM test doesn't leave the operator with a usable skill, rather it leaves him needing to learn the code all over again...or never wanting to use it at all.

With the demise of the Novice CW-only license and Novice sub-bands, there is no ready-made peer group to practice with, nor a comfortable environment to exercise beginning code skills. New hams today often learn to hate CW before they ever have a chance to develop proficiency.

Listen to the 20M CW sub-band. The slowest CQs I hear are two or three times faster than today's test speed. Many are considerably faster than that. A 5 WPM beginner can't copy the call signs, let alone conduct a QSO. That's pretty intimidating to the new General or Extra licensee who wants to try CW!

Perhaps a revision to our voluntary band plans is called for. Designate a "slow speed zone" at the top of each CW segment. These should be contiguous frequencies, not the isolated allocations of the original Novice assignments. Locate the data modes above the slow CW zone. The idea is to encourage experienced CW operators to actually tune the slow-speed area and answer calls there, as well as to allow learners a gradual transition to mainstream CW operation as their skill and confidence improves. Perhaps this would preserve the benefits of a designated practice area, while correcting the drawbacks of an isolated, unpopular novice band.

With no code test, there's no pressure to learn code badly just to get a license. Not using code as an initiation requirement can reduce the outright hatred many hams, both new and old, have for CW. You can learn the code when you're ready to use it, and then learn it well enough so that you

actually CAN use it on the air. Finally, there must be a comfortable place on the bands for that learning to happen.

72,  
--Tim (KR0U)

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 15:12:42 +0000  
From: "Brad Hernlem" <alibhernlem@hotmail.com>  
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [153058] [OT] Showing off some scroungework  
Message-ID: <F3AX3cf3ednHM3S0lbp0000001a@hotmail.com>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; format=flowed

Ever wonder what to do with those old slow modems? Here are some pictures of an instrument that I built for someone (it allows one to titrate chlorine using a pH meter) constructed largely from salvaged parts of modems. Even the chips were lifted with a heat gun.

<http://www.geocities.com/alibhernlem/Radio/label.html>

<http://www.geocities.com/alibhernlem/Radio/allview.html>

KG6IOE

-----  
The new MSN 8: smart spam protection and 2 months FREE\*  
<http://join.msn.com/?page=features/junkmail>

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 11:19:41 -0400  
From: "Hare,Ed, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.org>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [153059] RE: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
Message-ID: <721D3436A7C2B344A301FD4A413C71A901735485@kosh.arrlhq.org>  
content-class: urn:content-classes:message  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
          charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Let me relate this to QRP at least a little. After all, we do use CW a =  
lot on QRP, though there are a number of digital and phone QRPers, and =

growing.

As a 99% CW op, I don't care much about what rules changes may be made, =  
as long as there is space on our bands for me to do what I want, in fair =  
relationship to the space available for others to do what they want. =  
Nothing in the rules changes I can see as possible will change what I am =  
allowed to do in ham radio, so how will I have been harmed? All the =  
value that CW has to QRP will still be there if the rules are changed, =  
and why would I believe that a mode that has value will not be used?

I disagree with any proposal that will carve us into smaller and smaller =  
and smaller pieces. Such Balkanization can only deepen our differences, =  
in the same way that breaking up the United States into separate states =  
would weaken and divide our nation. Would such band partitioning as I =  
have seen here help bring CW and SSB and digital QRPers closer together, =  
or would we be building walls so we don't have to hear or see others =  
doing something different than we are? Just how high do we really want =  
to build those walls? Just how far away do we really want to be from the =  
rest of ham radio?

This slow-code, fast-code issue is, IMHO, central to the whole matter. =  
Right now, we have more code-tested hams than at any time in our =  
history? What are we high-speed folks, and the QRP community, doing with =  
that? Helping to foster these slow-code hams into becoming faster-code =  
hams, or arguing whether they have done well enough to be considered =  
worthy of talking with us at all? If we truly want to preserve CW, for =  
QRPers or for ham radio in general, we better start building bridges =  
instead of walls, or we may "protect" Morse CW so much that none of =  
these new hams will want to use it or be able to meet our standards of =  
excellence well enough that we will let them.

Is the need to use high speed to use Morse code really all that much =  
different from the mindset that thinks that if ain't at least a kW, it =  
isn't worth hearing?

Speaking personally, of course...

73,  
Ed Hare, W1RFI

>=20

-----

Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 11:55:13 -0400  
From: "Ten-Tec Inc. Amateur Radio Sales" <sales@tentec.com>  
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [153060] Ten-Tec July QRP kit sale

Message-ID: <5.1.0.14.1.20030626115432.022b6ec0@localhost>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

Ten-Tec is running our JULY QRP KIT SALE again this year.

Thanks to ARRL, we have a special incentive - buy a QRP transceiver kit in July at the regular \$95 price and receive your choice of the 2003 ARRL Handbook (reg. \$34.95) or the 19th edition of the ARRL Antenna Book (reg. \$30) absolutely FREE. Or, if you don't want the book, we're offering a discount on the kit itself.

There are other discounts along with the free book that can be combined with this offer on other kits and enclosures we sell as well - and if your order is \$200 or more, you also get the Buckmaster HamCall CD-ROM free, too! (reg. \$50).

The sale page is [www.tentec.com/julyqrp.htm](http://www.tentec.com/julyqrp.htm) for all the details on the above. Starts Tuesday 7/1/03 and runs through 7/31/03.

Scott Robbins, W4PA

Ten-Tec, Inc., 1185 Dolly Parton Pkwy, Sevierville, TN 37862 USA  
Contact Mon-Fri Eastern: Office/Tech (865) 453-7172 9 am-5 pm.  
Repair (865) 428-0364 8-4. Sales (800) 833-7373 9 am-5:30 pm.  
Fax (865) 428-4483 24 hrs. Visit us at <<http://www.tentec.com>>  
Email: New product sales/product info [sales@tentec.com](mailto:sales@tentec.com)  
Service department [service@tentec.com](mailto:service@tentec.com)  
While we make every effort to answer email in an expedient manner,  
the telephone is a much more efficient tool for getting a quicker and  
more complete answer to your inquiries. Thanks!

Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 10:29:05 -0500  
From: Chuck Carpenter <w5usj@9plus.net>  
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [153061] 00oooPs -- QRPer Ben, NW7DX, Award in QST  
Message-ID: <3.0.2.32.20030626102905.009639f0@mail.9plus.net>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Folks,

Fox hunters will recognize Ben, NW7DX, as one of the exemplary Foxii over the past couple of seasons.

Ben received the first Goldbard Memorial Scholarship award at HamCom this past weekend.

The \*At the Foundation\* column in the July 2003 QST carried the story.

Congratulations, Ben, and best wishes for your future success.

Chuck Carpenter, W5USJ, Point, Rains Co., TX - EM22cv, NETXQRP #1  
QRP-ARCI #5422, QRP-L #1306, QRPp-I #115, ARS #1280, SOC #57  
Zombie #759, COG #11, 6 Club #201, FP #601 <http://www.netxqrp.org>

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 10:44:36 -0400 (EDT)  
From: "Scott Rosenfeld [N7JI]" <ham@w3eax.umd.edu>  
To: qrp-l <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [153062] FS: Drake W4 wattmeter  
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0306260204010.3390-100000@w3eax.umd.edu>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

For sale, one Drake W-4 wattmeter. Excellent condition, fwd/ref reading, handles up to 2 kW. Remotable sensing unit.

Very sturdily built; not sure if sensing ranges can be reset for QRP levels.

\$75 plus shipping, offers considered.

Thanks,

Scott N7JI

--  
Scott Rosenfeld ARS N7JI  
541-684-9970 Eugene, OR Land o' much rain  
If you find me on the air, I'm probably in my car  
ham@w3eax.umd.edu <http://w3eax.umd.edu/~ham>

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 10:42:09 -0500

From: San Rotter <rotter@ieee.org>  
To: qrp-1@lehigh.edu  
Cc: W0rW@aol.com  
Subject: [153063] Re: New PLC QRM Article  
Message-ID: <200306261542.h5QFgGws014583@rain.CC.Lehigh.EDU>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Very nice article! Part one of the article starts on page 46 of 2003 Reference Guide

There is an editorial on:

<http://www.ce-mag.com/archive/02/09/edpage.html>  
EDITOR'S PAGE EMI: Unplugging Internet Access Sherrie Conroy, Editor

So far I only see last years online:

2002 Reference Guide

<http://ce-mag.com/archive/02/Spring/toc.html>

Hopefully the article will soon be posted on <http://ce-mag.com/> or on

<http://www.euro-emc-service.de/>

So far I have not heard of any gas company talking about broadband. Hi hi.

SBC sent me a modem w/ active <http://www.homepna.org/> de <http://www.2wire.com/>.  
I am not to optimistic about having HomePNA on all the time. DSL is bad enough to struggle with let alone HomePNA. Will see what happens when the DSL is activated next week. I have 30 days to resolve any problems w/ SBC.

72,

San  
K9YT

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 11:40:04 -0400  
From: "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>

Subject: [153064] Re: WHO IS THIS?  
Message-ID: <007a01c33bf9\$38ca8dc0\$0200a8c0@charter.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

So, has anybody looked at the QRP subscribers list to see who it is  
at zekiko@uol.com.br

Mike

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 09:27:32 -0700  
From: Bob Nielsen <nielsen@oz.net>  
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [153065] Re: Vertically challenged antenna choice  
Message-ID: <20030626162732.GA22503@n7xy.net>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Disposition: inline

On Thu, Jun 26, 2003 at 07:38:55AM -0400, Lee Mairs wrote:

> I have a small cottage on an island that is off the grid. It is also  
> vertically challenged as the only trees are scrub jobs about 12 -15 feet  
> high. I had a terrible radio time there during the middle of June and now  
> that I've found that my radio really does work, I'm not sure to blame it on  
> poor band condx or a really rotten antenna. My house is on the highest  
> point of the island - about 6 feet above sea level.  
>  
> Vertical support for wires is difficult because even the small trees are  
> surrounded, and covered by dense patches of poison ivy. I currently have an  
> N2CX Shorty from the NJQRP club with their tuner replaced by a big Murch.  
> tuner. I'm having trouble with the BLT I built just for this purpose, but  
> that is another issue.  
>  
> My question is should I put up a multi-band vertical, and if so, which one  
> (GAP, Bencher, other, Home brew), or will a multi-band dipole fed with  
> ladder line and no more than 25 feet in the air be a better antenna. This  
> place is on salt water and is surrounded by salt water marsh. I keep  
> thinking that VOA used verticals, but all I hear suggests that a dipole is  
> better than anything (other than lots of aluminum arrayed on a long boom.)

I also live on an island, about 150 yards from salt water. I have used  
two antennas fairly successfully. The first was something I through up  
just to get on the air, with the dimensions chosen to use available

supports--100 feet of wire, off center fed with a 90 degree bend at the feed points, 15 feet above ground. With a tuner I could get a reasonable match and made a lot of contacts on 40-10 meters. I had limited success on 80 and 160, but have made some contacts.

I was able to work QRP DXCC with this, as well as several new countries. Later I replaced it with a Hy-Gain AV-640 vertical up about 13 feet. It also appears to work just fine (although propagation hasn't been too great) and I recommend it. Being near salt water helps quite a bit. I plan to put up a 40/80 vertical and hopefully the location will partially offset the fact that I don't have room for a decent set of radials.

Bob, N7XY

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 12:39:15 -0400  
From: ed miller <millered@magnet.fsu.edu>  
To: qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU  
Subject: [153066] Re: No Morse Code Test.  
Message-ID: <5.1.1.5.2.20030626123821.0286ef50@magnet.fsu.edu>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

Isn't about time we brought back the zero-beat thread?

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 12:57:32 -0400  
From: "Hare,Ed, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.org>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [153067] RE: WARC and CW  
Message-ID: <721D3436A7C2B344A301FD4A413C71A9016F3341@kosh.arrlhq.org>  
content-class: urn:content-classes:message  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
                charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

It's stupid to=20  
> require CW at 5=20  
> wpm when we all know it's too slow.

I first learned code to pass a 5 wpm. The first code tests in amateur = radio were at 5 wpm.



I am glad we didn't have the Internet back then so I would know how =  
stupid I was to have passed=20  
that 5 wpm test. Hey, what did I know; I actually had believed I had =  
done something cool!

73,=20  
Ed Hare, W1RFI

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Karl Larsen [mailto:k5di@msn.com]

> Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2003 9:22 PM

> To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion

> Subject: WARC and CW

>=20

>=20

>=20

> There is no question that in 2004 the CW requirement from the=20

> WARC will be removed. In it's place they plan to tell member=20

> states they=20

> can require it if they choose to do so.

>=20

> The Government moves very slowly. I plan to send to FCC a=20

> request to end Element 1 the CW part now. It's stupid to=20

> require CW at 5=20

> wpm when we all know it's too slow. So just stop requiring a=20

> knowledge=20

> of CW at any speed.

>=20

> I got my Extra Class after sending AND receiving at 20 wpm and=20

> passing a written exam. I was happy to know that I was in a=20

> small group=20

> of hams that did this under the eyes of paid FCC test givers.

>=20

> Then the change where only 5 wpm is required to get an Extra=20

> Class license. This was kind of a bad deal I thought. And I=20

> was a ARRL=20

> VE who tested several new Extra Class guys. In fact one was a=20

> person who=20

> got there Tech license when it required a 5 wpm code test and the=20

> General exam. He came in and was automatically a General, and=20

> he passed=20

> the Extra Class written with just 1 wrong answer! And he went=20

> from Tech=20

> to Extra with one written exam.

>=20

> So to me it will be better for the VE people to remove all CW=20

> requirements and let the new Hams just take multiple guess=20

> exams. This=20

> will make teaching and testing (I do both) much easier. And I=20  
> can stop=20  
> trying to teach the code to people not willing to work hard.  
>=20  
> We of the QRP-L group know how much better CW works under poor=20  
> conditions and we will continue to hunt Fox's and be the=20  
> people who man=20  
> the CW position on Field Day and earn 10 points for every contact.  
>=20  
> In summary, CW is going to stop being a requirment for=20  
> obtaining=20  
> an Amateur Radio License in the next 3 years. We QRP operators will=20  
> continue to use code at 20 wpm and enjoy it.=20  
>=20  
>=20  
> --=20  
>  
> =20  
> - Karl Larsen k5di Las Cruces,NM Az ScQRPions -  
>=20  
>=20

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 12:58:01 -0400  
From: "Ron McConnell" <rcmcc@earthlink.net>  
To: "NJQRP" <njqrp@njqrp.org>, "ALWARC K3ME" <k3me@list.agere.com>,  
"Lincroft W2IMU ARC" <snjarc@avaya.com>, "QRP-L" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Cc: "W2IOL" <w2iol@arrl.net>,  
"Dick K2RIW Knadle" <rknadle@suffolk.lib.ny.us>,  
Subject: [153068] Grid Squares/Locators: 6, 8 & 12 characters  
Message-ID: <001f01c33c04\$1c7a03c0\$75cea441@earthlink.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

[This leans more toward VHF/UHF than HF qrp,  
but if you know some VHF/UHF type that's not  
in the long (sorry about that) To: and Cc:  
lists that may be interested, pass it on.]

FYI:

Dick Knadle, K2RIW,=20

rknadle@suffolk.lib.ny.us,=20  
FN30HT84DC27 =3D N 40=BA 48' 31.7" W 73=BA 20' 56.0"

<http://consult-li.com/listings/RKnadle.htm>

proposed on the Microwave reflector last year  
12-character Maidenhead type grid locators  
for greater resolution and accuracy in=20  
antenna bearings and distances.  
This is especially useful for VHF/UHF locations  
in the same 6-character grid sub-square, like FN20ps.  
A 12-character locator, like FN20ps77GU46,  
corresponds roughly to an area  
3m by 2m or 9 ft by 6 ft at 40=BA latitude, N/S.  
[For my HF dipoles this is a vast overkill  
for calculating antenna bearings. :) ]

Dick has an HP-28S program for his 12-cipher proposal. =20  
Larry, NY2US has it working on an HP-48.

I have a beta DOS Lat/Long <-> 12-character Grid,  
great circle/ellipsoid program that runs=20  
under a cmd.exe "C:\\" prompt for Windows XP  
or under command.com DOS window=20  
for older Windows OSs.

Getting the 11th and 12th characters  
to come out correctly stretches  
computer arithmetic, I've found.  
The HP calculators have an easier time.  
10 characters would be easier for PCs. :)

Ekki Plicht, DF00R, has a Windows program,  
RadioDistance, that uses 8-character grid locators  
and is the standard for the German VHF/UHF group.

<http://www.plicht.de/ekki/software/>

If you are interested, drop me a note.

Cheers, 73,

Ron McConnell  
w2iol@arrl.net  
N 40=BA 46' 57.9" W 74=BA 41' 21.9"  
FN20ps77GU46

GCGC, available free at

<http://home.earthlink.net/~rcmcc> ,

has 8-character grid locator capability,  
as in FN20ps77, for antenna bearings,  
distances, miles/Watt, MUF...  
This handles most HF needs.

I can add the 12-character beta program  
at the web site if there is enough interest  
- now that I \_finally\_ seem to have  
characters 11 and 12 working correctly.

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 12:02:26 -0500  
From: "Jerry" <jerryaa@fbx.com>  
To: <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [153069] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
Message-ID: <002b01c33c04\$b9d3b120\$9e420043@5tgbq01>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
                charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I have to agree with Ed for the most part. In particular, what does high  
speed [20 wpm for me] have to do with cw qrp. Nothing!

I struggle at around 12 words per minute. I hesitate to try for my Extra  
because of a "snobbery" that exists among some hams, especially those that  
had to pass 20 words per minute.

Good for you. But I will never be tested for code again. And I was one of  
those old techs that was allowed to become a General. In 1976 when I became  
a Tech, I could send and receive 15 WPM, but barely passed 5 wpm in front of  
the FCC examiner. Until recently I had been fairly inactive.

I am now trying to improve my CW and trying qrp cw and having a ball with  
SSB. So will I always be looked at as a "General Light"? Or if I do take the  
Extra exam and pass, an "Extra Light"?

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 12:07:33 -0500  
From: "Michael Melland" <w9wis@charter.net>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>,  
        <fpqrp-l@fpqrp.com>, <njqrp@njqrp.org>  
Subject: [153070] OT: Another Item of Test Gear FS - Curve Tracer

Message-ID: <002201c33c05\$6fafc880\$0200a8c0@computer>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

It's amazing when you are a pack rat and gadget freak what you find packed away in the shack and spare room closet <grin>.

My pre-eBay sale also includes this item:

Leader LTC-905 Transistor Curve Tracer.... NEW UNUSED perfect condition with manual and all cables plus the in circuit probe! I think they stopped making this 20 years ago... although it's a nice piece of test gear.

>From the description in the manual:

Curve tracing on a scope is made easy with the LTC-905. Characteristic curves of all types of semiconductors can accurately be displayed. This is far superior to the conventional ohmmeter checks for quality. In circuit tests are possible for quick checks. Two inputs are provided which enable comparison of two similar devices. The LTC-905 is designed to test the following: NPN,PNP,FET and MOS FET transistors; SCR's - thyristors and triacs; Diodes - rectifier, detector, zener and tunnel

Freq: 120 Hz  
Voltage: 8 steps - 10,20,30,40,50,60,80,100 accuracy +/- 10%  
Sweep waveform: fullwave rectified  
Current: 100 mA max  
Current limiter: 1000 ohms for low level trans  
100 ohms for power transistors  
Step generator Current : 7 steps - 10,20,50 uA, .1,.2,.5,1,2 mA - accuracy +/- 5%  
Volts per step: .1,.2,.5 - accuracy +/- 5%  
Power supply: 100,120,200,220 or 240 V 50/60 Hz 25 VA max  
3.5" by 9.5" by 6.75" 4.5 lbs

<http://webpages.charter.net/w9wis/ebay/ltc1.jpg>  
<http://webpages.charter.net/w9wis/ebay/ltc2.jpg>

\$100 plus shipping.... I "may" still have the original box somewhere, If so I'll ship it in that. I bought this abt 7 yrs ago when a distributor wen out of business here.... was NOS. eMail if interested.... off to eBay later in the week.

Mike

--

Michael Melland, W9WIS  
Winneconne, Wisconsin USA EN54pc  
qrp-l #1656 - qrparci # 9875 - iparc #252  
ars #1075 - <http://webpages.charter.net/w9wis/>

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 12:08:39 -0500  
From: "Gene Sailsbury" <gsailsbury@mobill1.net>  
To: <jerryaa@fbx.com>  
Cc: "Low Power" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [153071] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
Message-ID: <020c01c33c05\$97b6aba0\$8bc03fd8@n0mq>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
        charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Jerry, I consider you a ham, grin. 72, Doug, KI6DS from Gene's computer.

----- Original Message -----

From: "Jerry" <jerryaa@fbx.com>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2003 12:02 PM  
Subject: Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!

> I have to agree with Ed for the most part. In particular, what does high  
> speed [20 wpm for me] have to do with cw qrp. Nothing!  
>  
> I struggle at around 12 words per minute. I hesitate to try for my Extra  
> because of a "snobbery" that exists among some hams, especially those that  
> had to pass 20 words per minute.  
>  
> Good for you. But I will never be tested for code again. And I was one  
of  
> those old techs that was allowed to become a General. In 1976 when I  
became  
> a Tech, I could send and receive 15 WPM, but barely passed 5 wpm in front  
of  
> the FCC examiner. Until recently I had been fairly inactive.  
>  
> I am now trying to improve my CW and trying qrp cw and having a ball with  
> SSB. So will I always be looked at as a "General Light"? Or if I do take  
the  
> Extra exam and pass, an "Extra Light"?  
>

>

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 10:06:30 -0700  
From: Mark Schoonover <schoon@amgt.com>  
To: "'w1rfi@arrl.org'" <w1rfi@arrl.org>,  
Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [153072] RE: WARC and CW  
Message-ID: <BF889CEBEFD2D511B993009027F60ABE4F6ED5@AG-JASMINE-NT4>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"

CW is cool. That's the way I'm approaching it with the Boy Scouts. It's a code, not all that secret, but to a kid, it's cool. I've only had my gear out with me on one campout, and once the Norcal Doublet, SD20 and 817 was all plugged in and running, there were about 10 Cub Scouts around my site asking all kinds of questions. I'm planning on another trip for an entire week in the Sierra Nevadas from 5JULY to 12JULY with the Boy Scouts. Watch for the announcement next week!

72

.mark  
KA6WKE

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 13:20:53 -0400  
From: Alex <kr1st@amsat.org>  
To: jerryaa@fbx.com  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [153073] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
Message-ID: <3EFB2B75.25F71D4F@amsat.org>  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

Jerry wrote:

> I am now trying to improve my CW and trying qrp cw and having a ball with  
> SSB. So will I always be looked at as a "General Light"? Or if I do take the  
> Extra exam and pass, an "Extra Light"?

You know, a lot of hams I know are Extra Heavy. ;-) Take the test, and when you pass you'd be Extra Large in my book. Don't let this code thing get in the way from enjoying hamradio to the fullest.

I couldn't give a rats a\$\$ what other hams think of me for only having to pass a 5 wpm exam. That has nothing to do with disrespect, but with the fact that I refuse to make their problem mine.

73,  
--Alex KR1ST

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 11:26:56 -0600  
From: William R Colbert <w5xe@juno.com>  
To: tcgroat@earthlink.net, qrp-1@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [153074] Re: No Morse Code Test  
Message-ID: <20030626.112700.-294415.6.w5xe@juno.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Very well put Tim. There are many out in the world of radio that have learned the code to enhance their hobby, not amateur radio, but in the shortwave utility segment. People have learned it well enough with a mandate, to be able to ident their target, complete with text and have found it has enhanced their part of the radio hobby. As Arnie Coro, C02KK, often says, there are many facets to the radio hobby, or something similar.

I no longer subscribe to RadCom from the RSGB but many years ago, the RSGB Bulletin had sponsored SWL contests which most often required a knowledge of cw, not because of a mandate but higher score. There was, maybe still is, a swl section for their other amateur radio cw contests.

It will be an interesting set of events to watch.

No need to encourage FCC to do anything as they do enough damage without help.

73  
Ray  
"Texas can make it without the United States,



but the United States can't make it without Texas."  
Sam Houston, Governor  
Ray Colbert, W5XE, 00TC#3618, SOWP#1064M GQRP-6115  
ARCI-5784 NCT2R FP-111 El Paso, (FAR WEST) TEXAS

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 10:26:13 -0700  
From: Mark Schoonover <schoon@amgt.com>  
To: "'myetsko@insydesw.com'" <myetsko@insydesw.com>,  
Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [153075] RE: WHO IS THIS?  
Message-ID: <BF889CEBEFD2D511B993009027F60ABE4F6ED6@AG-JASMINE-NT4>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"

It's a spam farmer. They are trying to confirm your email address. They are members of the QRP-L list, so anyone that sends an email to the list will get this email from them. DO NOT CLICK on the URL - it will only confirm your email address to them. If you do click - get ready for the onslaught!

72

.mark  
KA6WKE

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 13:32:27 -0400  
From: "Garey Barrell" <k4oah@mindspring.com>  
To: <w1rifi@arrl.org>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [153076] Re: WARC and CW  
Message-ID: <002401c33c08\$e9c347c0\$6401a8c0@hp>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Ed -

I think the difference back in the late 50's was that we were all "thrown in together" in our own little ghetto (also known as the Novice Bands) and struggled together. Fortunately there were also quite a few experienced ops who made it a point to venture into the neighborhood to encourage and help us, even stretching the truth a bit to tell us what a good "fist" we had. In Kentucky at

least, we had a slow speed traffic net that met every evening and gave us yet another gathering place where ops with infinite patience struggled to help us while keeping a straight face!

CW traffic nets were a great place to improve your CW skills. You learned to just sit back and listen to the NCS for your call, and heard quite a bit of good CW that you weren't struggling to write down. These were also "real" people and messages, not a code tape or program. And when you DID get called and sent off frequency to receive some traffic, the last thing you wanted to do was ask the other op to slow down or repeat "fills". So you had a good incentive to "get it right" the first time.

There are still a few slow speed traffic nets in operation, but the new fish are now just thrown into the tank, where they often find themselves up against much higher speed ops without the patience or desire to be of help.

I think it's an accepted fact that learning the code at 5 WPM "non-Farnsworth" style really starts you off at a disadvantage as far as reaching higher speeds. New ops get discouraged before they really get a chance to learn CW at anything approaching a conversational speed. Unlike the original Novice license, they have the option to just pick up the mic and talk!

Losing the original Novice License pretty well finished off our chance to develop new CW operators. 20 simple rules questions and "memorizing" code at 5 WPM put you "on the air". More importantly there was a support group to make it possible to get your feet wet with a minimum of embarrassment. AND most importantly, it was a "limited time offer" and you had a year to get your code speed up to 13 WPM. Granted, some just put a Heath lunchbox on 2M and talked until the year was up, but many stuck with CW (no other choice!) and upgraded to General in six months or so. Nothing like a deadline to motivate you!!

73, Garey - K40AH (Formerly KN40AH)  
Atlanta

----- Original Message -----

From: "Hare,Ed, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.org>

> It's stupid to  
> > require CW at 5  
> > wpm when we all know it's too slow.  
>  
> I first learned code to pass a 5 wpm. The first code tests in amateur radio were at 5 wpm.  
>  
> I am glad we didn't have the Internet back then so I would know how stupid I was to have passed  
> that 5 wpm test. Hey, what did I know; I actually had believed I had done something cool!

>  
> 73,  
> Ed Hare, W1RFI  
>

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 11:33:13 -0600 (MDT)  
From: Karl Larsen <k5di@msn.com>  
To: Gene Sailsbury <gsailsbury@mobill1.net>  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [153077] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0306261124080.1958-1000000@bucket.dog>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Hi Gene, If you get an Extra ticket it will look EXACTLY like mine, and give you exactly the advantages I posses now. I have pointed out that I cherrish my experiances with the FCC examiners, and you can't get that any more. But it's still worth doing today.

On learning higher speed code I suggest the Kosh method with software from the guy in England who wrote it. I do not have the address handy now. It's [www.qsl.net/???](http://www.qsl.net/???) and the new version goes down to 5 wpm. I suggest you experiment and start where you copy 100% of all characters. Then run the speed up a couple of wpm and keep learning.

This IS how it should be. Your not in study for a new license, your doing it because YOU want to. I hope to see you in the QRP-L Fox hunts soon.

On Thu, 26 Jun 2003, Gene Sailsbury wrote:

> Jerry, I consider you a ham, grin. 72, Doug, KI6DS from Gene's computer.  
> ----- Original Message -----  
> From: "Jerry" <jerryaa@fbx.com>  
> To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
> Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2003 12:02 PM  
> Subject: Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
>  
>  
> > I have to agree with Ed for the most part. In particular, what does high  
> > speed [20 wpm for me] have to do with cw qrp. Nothing!  
> >  
> > I struggle at around 12 words per minute. I hesitate to try for my Extra

> > because of a "snobbery" that exists among some hams, especially those that  
> > had to pass 20 words per minute.  
> >  
> > Good for you. But I will never be tested for code again. And I was one  
> of  
> > those old techs that was allowed to become a General. In 1976 when I  
> became  
> > a Tech, I could send and receive 15 WPM, but barely passed 5 wpm in front  
> of  
> > the FCC examiner. Until recently I had been fairly inactive.  
> >  
> > I am now trying to improve my CW and trying qrp cw and having a ball with  
> > SSB. So will I always be looked at as a "General Light"? Or if I do take  
> the  
> > Extra exam and pass, an "Extra Light"?  
> >  
> >  
>

--

- Karl Larsen k5di Las Cruces, NM Az ScQRPions -

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 10:43:34 -0700  
From: Stephen Hawkins <wv6u@attbi.com>  
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [153078] A Quick vote and lets get back to radio  
Message-ID: <200306261043.34497.wv6u@attbi.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="us-ascii"  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

QRP,

To officially settle this Code vs no code, once and for all and get back to  
real radio send your vote, OFF LIST, to me. "ProCode" or "noCode".

Voting can be done in one of three ways. Pick the one that works for you=

1. Write your vote on the bottom of a new Ten-Tec Orion, equipped with all  
available options and send it to me.

or

2. Write your vote on the inside of a 5 gallon plastic bucket full of "real" =20

\$100.00US bills and send it to me.

or

3. Write your vote on the under side of the port wing, of a Learjet model=45XR

and send it to me.

Following one of these easy steps will ensure that your vote is counted =20 quickly and accurately.

In the meantime lets get off politics and back to radio.

Thanks,

Steve

--=20

73 49 111 01001001

Stephen Hawkins WV6U

wv6u@arrl.net

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 12:46:17 -0500  
From: "Gene Sailsbury" <gsailsbury@mobill1.net>  
To: "Low Power" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [153079] FD for N0MQ and KI6DS  
Message-ID: <023201c33c0a\$d94c4660\$8bc03fd8@n0mq>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
                charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Gene Sailsbury and I will be camping out at Twin Bridges state park in Oklahoma and operating FD with the 4SQRP group. We will be using the call sign of David Bixler, W0CH. Hope to work you on the bands. We are taking a K2, 2 K1's, SWL DSW20-2, and an 817. Gonna be loaded for bear. It is tough leaving the beautiful scenery of Norte Oklahoma for Baja Kansas, but we gotta do it. (Clifton Sikes came up with Norte Oklahoma down in Dallas.) See you on the bands. 72, Doug

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 12:53:20 -0500  
From: KD5NWA <KD5NWA@cbayona.com>  
To: k5di@msn.com,

"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [153080] Re: [ham] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
Message-ID: <5.2.0.9.0.20030626125130.00a7e9c8@127.0.0.1>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

His name if it's the same one I'm thinking of is Ray Goff, G4FON, and he has a real good program to learn code or help you increase your speed.

<<http://www.g4fon.co.uk/>>

At 12:33 PM 6/26/2003, Karl Larsen wrote:

> Hi Gene, If you get an Extra ticket it will look EXACTLY like  
> mine, and give you exactly the advantages I possess now. I have pointed  
> out that I cherish my experiences with the FCC examiners, and you can't  
> get that any more. But it's still worth doing today.

>  
> On learning higher speed code I suggest the Kosh method with  
> software from the guy in England who wrote it. I do not have the address  
> handy now. It's [www.qsl.net/???](http://www.qsl.net/???) and the new version goes down to 5 wpm.  
> I suggest you experiment and start where you copy 100% of all  
> characters. Then run the speed up a couple of wpm and keep learning.

>  
> This IS how it should be. You're not in study for a new license,  
> you're doing it because YOU want to. I hope to see you in the QRP-L Fox  
> hunts soon.

>  
>  
> On Thu, 26 Jun 2003, Gene Sailsbury wrote:

>  
> > Jerry, I consider you a ham, grin. 72, Doug, KI6DS from Gene's computer.

> > ----- Original Message -----

> > From: "Jerry" <jerryaa@fbx.com>

> > To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>

> > Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2003 12:02 PM

> > Subject: Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!

> >

> >

> > > I have to agree with Ed for the most part. In particular, what does high  
> > > speed [20 wpm for me] have to do with cw qrp. Nothing!

> > >

> > > I struggle at around 12 words per minute. I hesitate to try for my Extra  
> > > because of a "snobbery" that exists among some hams, especially those  
> that

> > > had to pass 20 words per minute.

> > >

> > > Good for you. But I will never be tested for code again. And I was one

> > of  
> > > those old techs that was allowed to become a General. In 1976 when I  
> > became  
> > > a Tech, I could send and receive 15 WPM, but barely passed 5 wpm in front  
> > of  
> > > the FCC examiner. Until recently I had been fairly inactive.  
> > >  
> > > I am now trying to improve my CW and trying qrp cw and having a ball  
> with  
> > > SSB. So will I always be looked at as a "General Light"? Or if I do take  
> > the  
> > > Extra exam and pass, an "Extra Light"?  
> > >  
> > >  
> >  
>  
>--  
>  
> - Karl Larsen k5di Las Cruces, NM Az ScQRPions -

Cecil  
KD5NWA

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 13:36:11 -0400  
From: Peter Burbank <peterlee@qx.net>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [153081] Re: Vertically challenged antenna choice  
Message-ID: <5.2.0.9.0.20030626132411.009f2b40@mail.qx.net>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

At 07:38 AM 6/26/2003, Lee Mairs wrote:

>I have a small cottage on an island that is off the grid.

>SNIP.....

>

>

>My question is should I put up a multi-band vertical, and if so, which one  
>(GAP, Bencher, other, Home brew), or will a multi-band dipole fed with  
>ladder line and no more than 25 feet in the air be a better antenna. This  
>place is on salt water and is surrounded by salt water marsh. I keep  
>thinking that VOA used verticals, but all I hear suggests that a dipole is  
>better than anything (other than lots of aluminum arrayed on a long boom.)  
>73 de Lee  
>KM4YY/8

Lee, if you can get some radials into the salt marsh you would have a great ground for a vertical.

73

Pete NV4V

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 14:17:10 -0400 (EDT)  
From: Doug Faunt N6TQS +1-510-655-8604 <faunt@panix.com>  
To: qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU  
Subject: [153082] Hazards of too many kits!  
Message-ID: <200306261817.h5QIHAg29424@panix3.panix.com>

I pick up kits that I think I'll be interested in, and now have a bit of a problem. I've found a AT89S8252 24PC IC floating around, and have no idea what it's from. I'm sure I'll figure it out (or if I don't, it won't matter), but it's amusing.

73, doug

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 14:33:47 -0400  
From: "David Hinerman" <WD8CIV@worldnet.att.net>  
To: "qrp-1" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [153083] RE: Hazards of too many kits!  
Message-ID: <000201c33c11\$7b76f510\$7a032a0a@nyroc.ametek.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
          charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Doug,

That's an Atmel 8-bit microcontroller, compatible with the Intel 8051 family of parts. I think Trevor, KG6CYN's DDS VFO kit used them.

Dave

-----  
David Hinerman WD8CIV  
wd8civ@worldnet.att.net



> -----Original Message-----

> From: owner-qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU [mailto:owner-qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU]On Behalf Of

> Doug Faunt N6TQS +1-510-655-8604

> Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2003 2:17 PM

> To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion

> Subject: Hazards of too many kits!

>

>

> I pick up kits that I think I'll be interested in, and now have a bit

> of a problem. I've found a AT89S8252 24PC IC floating around, and

> have no idea what it's from. I'm sure I'll figure it out (or if I

> don't, it won't matter), but it's amusing.

>

> 73, doug

>

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 18:33:07 GMT

From: nilsbull@juno.com

To: QRP-L@lehigh.edu

Subject: [153084] Zero beat me with his key . . . and I liked it!

Message-ID: <20030626.113321.511.68393@webmail09.lax.unttd.com>

Content-Type: text/plain

Yoldashlar,

And I use that term lovingly.

Sometimes it gets to the point with me that I have to wonder what part of the interdimensional time/space frame it is where I am. Kinda like getting my Tok Pijin dictionary published.

I was listening to 6m the other opening & I heard a guy doing this: "<callsign> lookin' for Echo Mike 99. . . "

At first I thought "Oh, that guy's still too close to his Browning Eagle." But then, after I saw what some folks have recently said about the end of CW tests (which is a very subjective view, given as how we ain't been through the WARC process complete yet), I realized that I'd upgraded to Extra so I could listen to more empty band space.

And it ain't 'cause my antenna's in the attic, neither, C?

I'm almost tempted to ask the same question that I give UFO freaks: "Ok, but where is everybody?"

Me personal, I wonder if there's gonna be a ham radio hobby come 2146, two hundred years after I took my first breath. We're turning into a bunch of elitist, factionalized, over-generalizing (he said, looking in the mirror), contentious, barely continent curmudgeonly geezers about this.

I get a joy out of watchin' some kid at Dayton buy a couple hundred feet of serious Ethernet hose 'cause you know he's not interested in wasting wattage. I don't care if he runs CW or SSB or RTTY or PSK139656. Just as long as he gets on the air and doesn't sound like me!

Seriously, when's the last time YOU heard a young voice on the air?

If this hobby is gonna survive (rich, white-man dominated as it is), we ain't gonna do it being old about it.

Which reminds me. . . it's medication time & then we go on a trip to the zoo.

See any of youse at the primate house?

73

Nils

-----  
Nils R. Bull Young -- W8IJN -- La Estancia de los Guajolotes Sonrientes  
-- <http://w8ijn.tripod.com> -- <http://members.fortunecity.com/nilsbull>  
--

"The island is closer than your memories are." -- Ian G. Bull Young, 15  
Feb 2002

-----  
The best thing to hit the internet in years - Juno SpeedBand!  
Surf the web up to FIVE TIMES FASTER!  
Only \$14.95/ month - visit [www.juno.com](http://www.juno.com) to sign up today!

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 18:38:04 +0000  
From: Wayne K9DI <k9di@k9di.org>  
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [153085] LEARC FD!!  
Message-ID: <20030626183804.GB6213@robinton>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Disposition: inline

Hi Gang,

Wayne K9DI here. I'm just posting to let U know about the local club's (LEARC) Field Day efforts. We will be QRV at start time on the Non-WARC bands as W9SSP. Please keep an ear out. We won't be QRP, and probably won't be doing much CW, but keep an ear out anyway please....)

We'll be running with 2 Kenwood TS520's, a TS820s, a TS120, and an Elecraft K2...Not sure if we'll have all of them on air at the same time, but we'll see :)

73

de

Wayne K9DI

--

Sincerely and Respectfully Yours

Wayne M. Scace K9DI		Come on out and join the fun of Field Day.
www.k9di.org		28-29 June 2003 1800-1600z (1300-1100).
LICQ 315313		Please listen for W9SSP (LEARC)
k9di@NOSPAMk9di.org		"QRP: Where the FUN is!!"
Proud Flying Piggie #217		"Let's Get Radioactive People!!!"

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 14:29:23 -0400

From: "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>

To: <WD8CIV@worldnet.att.net>,

"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>

Subject: [153086] Re: Hazards of too many kits!

Message-ID: <02cb01c33c10\$df72eca0\$0200a8c0@charter.net>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

> That's an Atmel 8-bit microcontroller, compatible with the Intel 8051 family

> of parts. I think Trevor, KG6CYN's DDS VFO kit used them.

Actually, it's what some people refer to as a 'Super 52'. The instruction set base is '51 compatible, but the chip does a heck of a lot more.

I'd have to look it up, but if I'm not mistaken, it has 'Basic' embedded in the core. And does things like page flash built in the chip. Not to mention some enhanced instructions.

If you have a part already programmed for a project, you may or may not be able to tell, as I believe there is a security bit you can set on the

thing and not be able to read the flash.

Mike

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 14:52:19 -0400  
From: "John L. Sielke" <jsielke@pobox.com>  
To: qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU  
Subject: [153087] Future of Ham Radio?  
Message-ID: <WQD9GE52ZV54MLA6HGE4Z7286GCC3X.3efb40e3@w2agn>  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=windows-1252  
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

Forwarded without comment for your consideration: (Twilight Zone Theme plays in background)

<http://www.eham.net/articles/5676>

John W2AGN

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 14:55:09 -0400  
From: Robin Kidd <robink@us.ibm.com>  
To: qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU  
Subject: [153088] The Code Issue - A New Extra's View  
Message-ID: <OFA1CF0E2B.4255A9FD-0N85256D51.0066D47A-85256D51.0067ED25@us.ibm.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII

I have been sitting quietly on the sidelines here reading all the emails flying back and forth. It seems to me that regardless of whether we have a CW test and/or requirement or not people who want to operate CW will do so - like me. I am 100% CW and 100% QRP or QRPp. One of the reasons I got into this hobby originally was because of all the different ways to communicate. Everyone is not the same. So, if I like CW then that is Ok with the person who does not just as long as my bandwidth for CW is not hammered by a QRO SSBer. Actually, when I took the 5 wpm test and passed it I thought I really did something - at least for me. As it turned out

the test was just the beginning of a love for CW and QRP and ham radio in general.

I have only been a ham for a little over a year. I am one of the "new" Extras. So maybe I am still suffering from the "new toy" syndrome but I don't think so. Really let's us just agree that we disagree on the value of CW and the CW test and move on to attract some of the young bright people into the hobby.

Regards,

Robin J. Kidd  
KG4RSQ /QRP  
QRP-ARCI #11093

Remember, the Ark was created by inspired amateurs but the Titanic was created by professionals...

Internet: robink@us.ibm.com  
Phone: 770.835.3596(T/L 596)

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 11:58:15 -0700  
From: Mark Schoonover <schoon@amgt.com>  
To: "'jsielke@pobox.com'" <jsielke@pobox.com>,  
Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [153089] RE: Future of Ham Radio?  
Message-ID: <BF889CEBEFD2D511B993009027F60ABE4F6EDD@AG-JASMINE-NT4>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="Windows-1252"

I hope this is a joke... On one hand, CW is going away, now the VF0??

.mark  
KA6WKE

|> -----Original Message-----  
|> From: John L. Sielke [mailto:jsielke@pobox.com]  
|> Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2003 11:52 AM  
|> To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion  
|> Subject: Future of Ham Radio?  
|>  
|>  
|> Forwarded without comment for your consideration: (Twilight

|> Zone Theme plays in background)  
|>  
|> <http://www.eham.net/articles/5676>  
|>  
|> John W2AGN  
|>  
|>  
|>

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 14:16:25 -0500  
From: "Dave Sjolín" <[sjolin@swbell.net](mailto:sjolin@swbell.net)>  
To: "Qrp-l Reflector" <[qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU](mailto:qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU)>  
Subject: [153090] Fox: N0IT June 22 2003 Final Log  
Message-ID: <009701c33c17\$709fc8a0\$78d1fea9@DaveSjolin>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
                charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Attached is my final log for the Fox Hunt last weekend. The few corrections requested have been made. Thanks again for the contacts.

73 de Dave, N0IT (St. Louis, MO)

UTC	CALL	RST	STATE	NAME
PWR				
2200	K5DI	589	NM	KARL
5W				
2201	W5YR	559	TX	GEO
5W				
2202	K4MF	559	FL	GARY
5W				
2206	KK5NA	559	TX	JOE
5W				
2207	K5JHP	559	TX	BILL
5W				
2207	KB7WW	559	OR	ART
5W				
2209	K5SR	559	TX	DALE
5W				
2210	W7ILW	559	AZ	WALT
5W				
2211	AA7EQ	559	AZ	BOB
5W				
2212	K3PH	559	PA	BOB

5W					
2213	N1TP	559	FL	TOM	
5W					
2214	W0MPR	559	CO	GREG	
5W					
2215	WA7LNW	559	UT	JACK	
5W					
2218	K0EVZ	559	ND	DOC	
4W					
2219	W5TB	559	TX	DOC	
5W					
2220	N1FN	559	CO	ET	
5W					
2221	N5ZE	559	TX	LEW	
5W					
2221	W5KDJ	559	TX	WAYNE	
400 mW					
2225	N1IX	559	NH	DAVE	
5W					
2226	AF4PS	559	FL	MAC	
5W					
2228	W3CD	559	CA	BOB	
5W					
2237	KI0II	559	CO	RON	
5W					
2245	K5TR	559	TX	GEO	
5W					
2248	C02HA	559	CUBA	FRANK	5W
2253	VA6MJT	599	AB	MIKE	
5W					
2255	WB7AEI	349	WA	PHIL	
5W					
2258	K7FD	599	OR	JOHN	
5W					
2302	AC7A	579	AZ	TOM	
5W					
2326	W6AZ	559	CA	RON	
5W					
2329	N0IT	FOX	MO	DAVE	
5W					

-----

Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 15:20:26 -0400 (EDT)  
 From: Doug Faunt N6TQS +1-510-655-8604 <faunt@panix.com>  
 To: qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU

Subject: [153091] [zekiko.sspam@uol.com.br: RE:Hazards of too many kits!]  
Message-ID: <200306261920.h5QJKQa08249@panix3.panix.com>  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

I got this as result of my previous message to the QRP-L. It's about  
as unfriendly a piece of email, per se, as I've seen.

73, doug

----- Start of forwarded message -----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 15:42:34 -0300 (BRT)  
From: AntiSpam UOL <zekiko.sspam@uol.com.br>  
To: faunt@panix.com  
Subject: RE:Hazards of too many kits!

```
<html><head><title>UOL Mail Inteligente</title></head>
<style>
<!--
td {font-family:verdana,arial;font-size:12px}
.white10, A.white10 {font-family:verdana,arial;font-size:10px;color:#ffffff}
.f10 {font-family:verdana,arial;font-size:10px}
a.red {color:#9E0307}
- -->
</style>
<body bgcolor="#FFFFFF">
<table border=0 cellpadding=1 cellspacing=0 width=450 height=240>
  <tr bgcolor=#CE5212>
    <td width=132 height=24 bgcolor=#990000 valign=bottom><a href="http://
antispam.uol.com.br"></a></td>
    <td width=244></td>
    <td width=68><a href="http://www.uol.com.br"></a></
td>
  </tr>
  <tr>
    <td colspan=3>
      <table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0>
        <tr>
          <td width=10 rowspan=2>&nbsp;</td>
          <td><br>
            01 ,<br><br>
            Voc enviou uma mensagem
          </td>
        </tr>
        <tr>
          <td colspan=2>
            para<br><b>zekiko@uol.com.br</b><br><br>
          </td>
        </tr>
      </table>
    </td>
  </tr>
</table>
</body>
</html>
```



```

        Para que sua mensagem seja encaminhada, por favor,<br><a href="http://tira-teima.as.uol.com.br/challengeSender.html?data=i1D9bW2E%2BE%2FG0TTI5RZaW72xdzvD0mGfFwIszE4UKm7oSvzEm0QCJmLLDo%2FqirdRd81reotNmOB%2F%0AJHHcv2Jrh349Hg1m3uT6tm42TujB0hKODt%2FwyAnQit9pYe9o%2FAJQ" class=red target=_blank><b>clique aqui</b></a><br><br><br><br></td><td width=10 rowspan=2>&nbsp;</td></tr><tr><td class=f10>Esta confirma o necess ria porque <b>zekiko@uol.com.br</b> usa o Antispam UOL, um programa que elimina mensagens enviadas por rob s, como pornografia, propaganda e correntes.</td></tr></table></td></tr><tr><td colspan=3><hr width="440" size="1" noshade></td></tr><tr><td colspan=3><table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0><tr><td width=10 rowspan=2>&nbsp;</td><td><br>Hi,<br><br>You ve just sent a message<br><b>zekiko@uol.com.br</b><br><br>In order to confirm the sent message, please <br><a href="http://tira-teima.as.uol.com.br/challengeSender.html?data=i1D9bW2E%2BE%2FG0TTI5RZaW72xdzvD0mGfFwIszE4UKm7oSvzEm0QCJmLLDo%2FqirdRd81reotNmOB%2F%0AJHHcv2Jrh349Hg1m3uT6tm42TujB0hKODt%2FwyAnQit9pYe9o%2FAJQ" class=red target=_blank><b>click here</b></a><br><br><br><br></td><td width=10 rowspan=2>&nbsp;</td></tr><tr><td class=f10>This confirmation is necessary because <b>zekiko@uol.com.br</b> uses Antispam UOL, a service that avoids unwanted messages like advertising, pornography, viruses, and spams.</td></tr></table><br></td></tr><tr><td colspan=3 height=15 bgcolor=#990000 align=center class=white10><font color=ffffff>Use o <a href="http://antispam.uol.com.br" class=white10><b><font color=ffffff>AntiSpam UOL</font></b></a> e proteja sua caixa postal</font></td></tr></table><br><table width=600 cellpadding=2 cellspacing=0 border=0><tr><td align=center><font size=1 face=arial><font size=1 face=arial><SCRIPT>RdFhCfCggWeb = '1996-';</SCRIPT><SCRIPT src="http://indice.uol.com.br/anouol.js"></SCRIPT></font></td>

```

</tr></table>  
</body>  
</html>

----- End of forwarded message -----

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 12:26:29 -0700  
From: Mark Schoonover <schoon@amgt.com>  
To: "'faunt@panix.com'" <faunt@panix.com>,  
Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [153092] RE: [zekiko.sspam@uol.com.br: RE:Hazards of too many kits!]  
Message-ID: <BF889CEBEFD2D511B993009027F60ABE4F6EE0@AG-JASMINE-NT4>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"

Yeah, I blocked the address... Just a quick test to see if it's working.

72

.mark

|> -----Original Message-----  
|> From: Doug Faunt N6TQS +1-510-655-8604 [mailto:faunt@panix.com]  
|> Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2003 12:20 PM  
|> To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion  
|> Subject: [zekiko.sspam@uol.com.br: RE:Hazards of too many kits!]  
|>  
|>  
|> I got this as result of my previous message to the QRP-L. It's about  
|> as unfriendly a piece of email, per se, as I've seen.  
|>  
|> 73, doug  
|>  
|>  
|> ----- Start of forwarded message -----  
|> Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 15:42:34 -0300 (BRT)  
|> From: AntiSpam UOL <zekiko.sspam@uol.com.br>  
|> To: faunt@panix.com  
|> Subject: RE:Hazards of too many kits!  
|>  
|> <html><head><title>UOL Mail Inteligente</title></head>  
|> <style>  
|> <!--  
|> td {font-family:verdana,arial;font-size:12px}  
|> .white10, A.white10  
|> {font-family:verdana,arial;font-size:10px;color:#ffffff}

```

|> .f10 {font-family:verdana,arial;font-size:10px}
|> a.red {color:#9E0307}
|> - -->
|> </style>
|> <body bgcolor="#FFFFFF">
|> <table border=0 cellpadding=1 cellspacing=0 width=450 height=240>
|>   <tr bgcolor=#CE5212>
|>     <td width=132 height=24 bgcolor=#990000
|> valign=bottom><a href="http://antispam.uol.com.br"><img
|> src="http://mail.i.uol.com.br/antispam_txt.gif" width="124"
|> height="19"border="0" hspace=5></a></td>
|>     <td width=244><img
|> src="http://mail.i.uol.com.br/tirateima_txt.gif" width="90"
|> height="16" alt="" border="0" hspace=5></td>
|>     <td width=68><a
|> href="http://www.uol.com.br"><img
|> src="http://mail.i.uol.com.br/logo_uol_pq.gif" width="57"
|> height="20" alt="" border="0"></a></td>
|>   </tr>
|>   <tr>
|>     <td colspan=3>
|>       <table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0>
|>         <tr>
|>           <td width=10
|> rowspan=2>&nbsp;</td>
|>           <td><br>
|>             01 ,<br><br>
|>             Voc  enviou uma
|> mensagem para<br><b>zekiko@uol.com.br</b><br><br>
|>             Para que sua
|> mensagem seja encaminhada, por favor,<br><a
|> href="http://tira-teima.as.uol.com.br/challengeSender.html?da
|> ta=i1D9bW2E%2BE%2FG0TTI5RZaW72xdzvD0mGfFwIszE4UKm7oSvzEm0QCJm
|> LLD0%2FqirdRd81reotNm0B%2F%0AJHHcv2Jrh349Hg1m3uT6tm42TujB0hK0
|> Dt%2FwyAnQit9pYe9o%2FAJQ" class=red target=_blank><b>clique
|> aqui</b></a><br><br><br><br>
|>           </td>
|>           <td width=10
|> rowspan=2>&nbsp;</td>
|>         </tr>
|>         <tr><td class=f10>Esta confirma
|> o   necess ria porque <b>zekiko@uol.com.br</b> usa o
|> Antispam UOL, um programa que elimina mensagens enviadas por
|> rob s, como pornografia, propaganda e correntes.</td></tr>
|>       </table>
|>     </td>
|>   </tr>
|>   <tr><td colspan=3><hr width="440" size="1" noshade></td></tr>

```

```

|> <tr>
|>     <td colspan=3>
|>         <table border=0 cellpadding=0 cellspacing=0>
|>             <tr>
|>                 <td width=10
|> rowspan=2>&nbsp;  </td>
|>                 <td><br>
|>                     Hi,<br><br>
|>                     You ve just
|> sent a message to<br><b>zekiko@uol.com.br</b><br><br>
|>                     In order to
|> confirm the sent message, please <br><a
|> href="http://tira-teima.as.uol.com.br/challengeSender.html?da
|> ta=i1D9bW2E%2BE%2FG0TTI5RZaW72xdzvD0mGfFwIszE4UKm7oSvzEm0QCJm
|> LLD0%2FqirdRd81reotNm0B%2F%0AJHHcv2Jrh349Hglm3uT6tm42TujB0hK0
|> Dt%2FwyAnQit9pYe9o%2FAJQ" class=red target=_blank><b>click
|> here</b></a><br><br><br><br>
|>                 </td>
|>                 <td width=10
|> rowspan=2>&nbsp;  </td>
|>             </tr>
|>             <tr><td class=f10>This
|> confirmation is necessary because <b>zekiko@uol.com.br</b>
|> uses Antispam UOL, a service that avoids unwanted messages
|> like advertising, pornography, viruses, and spams.</td></tr>
|>         </table><br>
|>     </td>
|> </tr>
|> <tr><td colspan=3 height=15 bgcolor=#990000
|> align=center class=white10><font color=ffffff>Use o <a
|> href="http://antispam.uol.com.br" class=white10><b><font
|> color=ffffff>AntiSpam UOL</font></b></a> e proteja sua caixa
|> postal</font></td></tr>
|> </table>
|> <br>
|> <table width=600 cellpadding=2 cellspacing=0 border=0>
|> <tr><td align=center><font size=1 face=arial>
|> <font size=1 face=arial><SCRIPT>RdFhCfCggWeb =
|> '1996-';</SCRIPT><SCRIPT
|> src="http://indice.uol.com.br/anouol.js"></SCRIPT></font></td>
|> </tr></table>
|> </body>
|> </html>
|> ----- End of forwarded message -----
|>
|>

```

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 13:40:42 -0600 (MDT)  
From: Karl Larsen <k5di@msn.com>  
To: KD5NWA <KD5NWA@cbayona.com>  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [153093] Re: [ham] Re: WARC 2003 - Now this is going to be interesting!  
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0306261338450.2075-1000000@bucket.dog>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Yes that is exacly right Cecil!

On Thu, 26 Jun 2003, KD5NWA wrote:

> His name if it's the same one I'm thinking off is Ray Goff, G4FON, and he  
> has a real good program to learn code or help you increase your speed.  
>  
> <<http://www.g4fon.co.uk/>>  
>  
> At 12:33 PM 6/26/2003, Karl Larsen wrote:  
>  
> > Hi Gene, If you get an Extra ticket it will look EXACTLY like  
> >mine, and give you exactly the advantages I posses now. I have pointed  
> >out that I cherrish my experiances with the FCC examiners, and you can't  
> >get that any more. But it's still worth doing today.  
>  
> Cecil  
> KD5NWA  
>

--

- Karl Larsen k5di Las Cruces,NM Az ScQRPions -

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 15:37:11 -0400 (EDT)  
From: Philip L Carter <pcarter@gcfn.org>  
To: Mark Schoonover <schoon@amgt.com>  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [153094] RE: [zekiko.sspam@uol.com.br: RE:Hazards of too many kits!]  
Message-ID: <Pine.3.07.10306261508.A12573-a1000000@acme>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Yes I have seen these before. There are a few "services" that are using them. I received one from a friend of mine that got a new email service. It basically said to respond to it (click here) so he could receive the signed message that I sent him. Interesting thing was that before I sent the "reply" to the message I got the message that he already read the message and he responded to it (impossible if he hadn't read it), and thanked me for replying (I had not yet replied).

So I wonder if these "services" work or are they just email traps? Maybe this is how you update your 21gazillion email addresses for \$29.99.

NRE/COLE Test Center OH-3  
pcarter@gcfn.org or wd8qwr@arrl.net  
Philip L. Carter, WD8QWR  
wd8qwr@w8cqk.#cmh.oh.usa.na

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 15:34:40 -0400  
From: "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>  
To: <faunt@panix.com>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [153095] Re: [zekiko.sspam@uol.com.br: RE:Hazards of too many kits!]  
Message-ID: <002d01c33c19\$fe2b37c0\$0200a8c0@charter.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

OK, I didn't want to have to do this...

The guy is a subscriber to QRP-L

He is listed in the QRP-L member list as

zekiko@uol.com.br      sebastiao lopes pinheiro py2apy

Some people think this is an address collection robot. But if it is, it ALREADY has your email address since it sees all the stuff from QRP-L with your address as the reply address.

While I don't know for sure, I BELIEVE that this is just some guy getting the list that has now signed up for one of the 'spam blockers'. What may be triggering it is the proliferation of the QRP list email

address in the header from a TON of different emails from different 'sources' (ie authors).

Now, you can bemoan the guys lack of intelligence for setting it up without understanding the consequences, but I'll give him the benefit of the doubt. For now. At least, no one has been able to show me that this is indeed an address collection robot. And as I said before, by the time you get this, it's too late.

Unfortunately Jim is on vacation, otherwise he could just suspend the list to this guy. And that would probably solve the problem.

OR... Someone (I'm not saying who...) could just forge an envelope header and email a postpone request to the list as if it came from this guy.

Mike

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 15:43:11 -0400  
From: "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>  
To: <pcarter@gcfn.org>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [153096] Re: [zekiko.sspam@uol.com.br: RE:Hazards of too many kits!]  
Message-ID: <005201c33c1b\$2ec43520\$0200a8c0@charter.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

> Yes I have seen these before. There are a few "services" that are using  
> them. I received one from a friend of mine that got a new email  
service.  
> It basically said to respond to it (click here) so he could receive the  
> signed message that I sent him. Interesting thing was that before I  
sent  
> the "reply" to the message I got the message that he already read the  
> message and he responded to it (impossible if he hadn't read it), and  
> thanked me for replying (I had not yet replied).

As I already said, I don't think 'this' one is a harvester. The reason is they would already have all our info since the client is subscribed to the list.

Mike

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 14:57:51 -0500  
From: "Dave Sjolín" <sjolin@swbell.net>  
To: <myetsko@insydesw.com>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [153097] Re: [zekiko.sspam@uol.com.br: RE:Hazards of too many kits!]  
Message-ID: <00cb01c33c1d\$3abd79c0\$78d1fea9@DaveSjolín>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I can certainly understand how this could have happened innocently. He may have QRP-L on a "good" list of email sources to pass through his SPAM service but that doesn't always work.

My ISP has some SPAM software that traps most of that garbage (porno, body parts enhancement offers, pharmaceuticals prescribed over the net, etc), however it traps one or two qrp-l posts a day. I check the subject line and source of the mail that ends up in this bulk folder and if it's something I want to read I move to the inbox. If not I hit delete and they are gone.

However, when I look at the reflector posts that get trapped I can't figure out why those get caught and the other hundred or more don't. It's one of the internet mysteries.

73 de Dave, N0IT

----- Original Message -----

From: "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2003 2:34 PM  
Subject: Re: [zekiko.sspam@uol.com.br: RE:Hazards of too many kits!]

> OK, I didn't want to have to do this...  
>  
> The guy is a subscriber to QRP-L  
>  
> He is listed in the QRP-L member list as  
>  
> zekiko@uol.com.br      sebastiao lopes pinheiro py2apy  
>  
> Some people think this is an address collection robot. But if it is,  
> it ALREADY has your email address since it sees all the stuff from



> QRP-L with your address as the reply address.  
>  
> While I don't know for sure, I BELIEVE that this is just some guy  
> getting the list that has now signed up for one of the 'spam blockers'.  
> What may be triggering it is the proliferation of the QRP list email  
> address in the header from a TON of different emails from different  
> 'sources' (ie authors).  
>  
> Now, you can bemoan the guys lack of intelligence for setting it up  
> without understanding the consequences, but I'll give him the benefit  
> of the doubt. For now. At least, no one has been able to show me  
> that this is indeed an address collection robot. And as I said before,  
> by the time you get this, it's too late.  
>  
> Unfortunately Jim is on vacation, otherwise he could just suspend  
> the list to this guy. And that would probably solve the problem.  
>  
> OR... Someone (I'm not saying who...) could just forge an envelope  
> header and email a postpone request to the list as if it came from  
> this guy.  
>  
> Mike  
>

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 15:52:32 -0400  
From: kwiike@gdls.com  
To: qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU  
Subject: [153098] Last time I heard a young voice  
Message-ID: <0F679F7237.F5EBD116-0N85256D51.006BABCD@gdls.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

It was a couple of weeks ago. I worked a 14 year old in Texas the day after school was out for the summer. It was on 20 meters. We had a very nice CW chat. He was using his Dad's TS570. Third generation Ham. Favorite subjects are music and science. Hopes to build a kit like a K2 some day. Going to work at the hardware store this summer. He likes to work QRPers because they make for interesting QSOs.

Try Saturdays in the old Novice segments on 40 and 15. Lots of young / new CW ops on. Ask questions so they always have some thing to say.

Ed AB8DF

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 15:04:11 -0500  
From: Jim Crooke <crooke@crooke.us>  
To: qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU  
Subject: [153099] FS unbuilt DSW20-II beta  
Message-ID: <5.1.0.14.0.20030626145832.02fda650@mail.whiz.to>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

I know I should have had this built before now, for shame, for shame, for shame (Gomer Pyle talk). However, if anyone is interested in this, it is now for sale for one night only, Paypal only for \$150 shipped priority mail, Friday, June 27.

73 es oo de Jim KJ0C  
Healer of Brachycephalics and other fine looking creatures  
in Springfield, MO  
FP # -108 and semi-official veterinarian of the Flying Pigs QRP Club  
PITA #2 SOC #37

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 15:09:51 -0500  
From: "Mark Andrews \((KE4MA\)" <KE4IOF@ke4iof.com>  
To: <nilsbull@juno.com>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [153100] Re: Zero beat me with his key . . . and I liked it!  
Message-ID: <001a01c33c1e\$e7c9bfb0\$596ffea9@carrera>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="Windows-1252"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Nils,

Well said! Ditto!

Mark

-----  
Mark A. Andrews, KE4MA  
QRP-L 146, QRP-ARCI 10574, QRPP-I 217

> I get a joy out of watchin' some kid at Dayton buy a couple hundred feet of serious Ethernet hose 'cause you know he's not interested in wasting

wattage. I don't care if he runs CW or SSB or RTTY or PSK139656. Just as long as he gets on the air and doesn't sound like me!

>

> Seriously, when's the last time YOU heard a young voice on the air?

>

> If this hobby is gonna survive (rich, white-man dominated as it is), we ain't gonna do it being old about it.

>

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 14:14:36 -0600 (MDT)  
From: Karl Larsen <k5di@msn.com>  
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [153101] New Spammer  
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0306261409150.2075-100000@bucket.dog>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

There is a spam engine in Europe that is subscribed to QRP-L. What happens is this software engine reads the From: Internet address and sends the same spam to that address which is yours. I will get one for this message with the same title which it lifts from the message it received. I will find out exactly how the spam gets to me from the entire message header. Perhaps I can find out who is subscribed to this list and sends out the spam.

--

- Karl Larsen k5di Las Cruces,NM Az ScQRPions -

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 16:17:22 -0400  
From: scottamcmullen@netscape.net (scott mcmullen)  
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [153102] Re: Last time I heard a young voice  
Message-ID: <0C459623.6E8FC8D1.E6F3CC77@netscape.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

a few months ago, on (believe it or not) 80m cw.

i called cq and he replied. we were just about the

only ones on the 80m cw segment except for a huge  
pileup of the 5B-DXCC crowd down in the extra portion  
of the band (sigh; what has \*this\* band come to?).

scott - nj0e.

--

Dripping Springs, Texas  
scottamcmullen@netscape.net  
<http://www.geocities.com/scottamcmullen>

---

McAfee VirusScan Online from the Netscape Network.  
Comprehensive protection for your entire computer. Get your free trial today!  
<http://channels.netscape.com/ns/computing/mcafee/index.jsp?promo=393397>

Get AOL Instant Messenger 5.1 free of charge. Download Now!  
<http://aim.aol.com/aimnew/Aim/register.adp?promo=380455>

---

Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 16:13:40 -0400  
From: "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [153103] No more trash from zekiko@uol.com.br  
Message-ID: <001e01c33c1f\$710aece0\$0200a8c0@charter.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
                charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

OK, if this offends anybody, I apologize...

The emails coming from zekiko@uol.com.br appear to be from his  
'SPAM trap'.

I don't know if he is away or what, but I personally got tired of my  
mailbox being flooded.

No, it's not SPAM from Europe...

In case anyone hasn't noticed, the problem stopped a while ago.  
Hopefully it will STAY stopped until Jim gets back and handles it  
officially. I DID send off an email to Jim telling him what I did, but  
remember, he's out of town for a week, and I don't know if he can  
even read the list.

(Yes, I did something bad... I hope no one is upset. I DID have good intentions! Hey, it's not THAT bad! And no, it was NOT destructive to anybody!)

So, let's drop the topic as long as the situation is solved.

Mike  
N1DVJ

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 17:20:11 -0400  
From: "Dennis Brickey" <n4dd@preferred.com>  
To: <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [153104] FOX: N4DD Fox Announcement  
Message-ID: <001401c33c28\$bb3559a0\$86627642@computer>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
                charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hi Gang,

I will be the Summer Sunday Fox on 6/29/03. This event will closely follow the conclusion of Field Day in which I plan to participate from a remote mountain top in S. W. Virginia. With that in mind, I hope that it will be acceptable to the masses for me to conduct the Fox Hunt from that same location. The rig will be a battery powered Omni VI + and the antenna will be a 500' random loop. The exchange will be " Hound Call, RST, VA, Dennis, 5w." I will set up shop at 14.055 plus or minus qrm. I will not have access to an internet connection, so if I am not at 14.055, please look around for me. I will be listening up 1 khz at the beginning of the hunt, but I will work back to 14.055 as the pack thins. I hope to work a bunch of you guys, if I can stay awake!

Best Regards and CQ FD

72,  
Dennis N4DD

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 18:07:06 -0400  
From: Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>  
To: scottamcmullen@netscape.net  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [153105] Re: Last time I heard a young voice  
Message-ID: <3EFB6E8A.167F936@erols.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

80 is a funny band. I can remember back a few years when it used to have QSOs across the CW portion of the band, in mid-afternoon, and then last year when you had to work to find a signal! Perhaps it will return again...

One reason I upgraded to extra back in 1975 was so I would never miss out on a QSO, anywhere, anytime.

73

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 18:25:59 -0400  
From: Dave Richards <wr3i@earthlink.net>  
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [153106] Lobstercon? question  
Message-ID: <oprrea9lvokb5jrn@localhost>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8; format=flowed

Hey,

Downeasters and others when is lobstercon I want to get my tent in order  
Dave W1QB

--  
Dave Richards

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 18:37:28 -0400  
From: Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>  
To: lmairs@direcway.com  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [153107] Re: Vertically challenged antenna choice  
Message-ID: <3EFB75A8.E65410BD@erols.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Gotta ask, how many of you read my recent QST article on compromise antennas? Think it only applied to wire antenna as Well it didn't, it applied equally to aluminum! ALL antennas ae a compromise. You install them to the best od your ability, and then you optimize the installation. There is only marginal difference between a near ground wire and a vertical, maybe a few points tn directiviit. I used verticals exclusively from 1978 through 1891. Worked DXCC using them along with WAS. Patience is the key. People get on at different times. If you are patient you will eventually work them!

73

-----  
Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 17:41:18 -0500  
From: "Mike Duke, K5XU" <k5xu@jam.rr.com>  
To: "qrp list" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [153108] FCC On RF Exposure  
Message-ID: <01c201c33c34\$7edec340\$e3dba418@jam.rr.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
                charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

The following is from the FCC's Daily Digest.

Daily Digest

Vol. 22 No. 122  
26, 2003

June

THE FOLLOWING ITEMS ARE DATED AND RELEASED TODAY:

-----  
--  
  
NEWS RELEASES  
  
-----  
--

FCC PROPOSES CHANGES IN THE COMMISSION'S RULES AND PROCEDURES REGARDING  
HUMAN EXPOSURE TO RADIOFREQUENCY ELECTROMAGNETIC ENERGY. News Release.

(Dkt No 03-137). News Media Contact: Bruce Romano at (202) 418-2470  
OET. Contact Robert Cleveland (202) 418-2422

<

[http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs\\_public/attachmatch/DOC-235835A1.doc](http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-235835A1.doc)  
[http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs\\_public/attachmatch/DOC-235835A1.pdf](http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-235835A1.pdf)  
[http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs\\_public/attachmatch/DOC-235835A1.txt](http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-235835A1.txt)

PROPOSED CHANGES IN THE COMMISSION'S RULES REGARDING HUMAN EXPOSURE TO  
RADIOFREQUENCY ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS. Proposed to amend Parts 1 and 2  
of the rules relating to the compliance of FCC-regulated transmitters  
and facilities with our guidelines for human exposure to radiofrequency  
(RF) energy. (Dkt No. 03-137). Action by: the Commission. Adopted:  
06/12/2003 by NPRM. (FCC No. 03-132). OET

<

[http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs\\_public/attachmatch/FCC-03-132A1.doc](http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-03-132A1.doc)  
[http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs\\_public/attachmatch/FCC-03-132A2.doc](http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-03-132A2.doc)  
[http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs\\_public/attachmatch/FCC-03-132A1.pdf](http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-03-132A1.pdf)  
[http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs\\_public/attachmatch/FCC-03-132A2.pdf](http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-03-132A2.pdf)  
[http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs\\_public/attachmatch/FCC-03-132A1.txt](http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-03-132A1.txt)  
[http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs\\_public/attachmatch/FCC-03-132A2.txt](http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-03-132A2.txt)

Mike Duke, K5XU  
American Council of Blind Radio Amateurs

-----

Date: Thu, 26 Jun 2003 18:45:16 -0400  
From: Dave Richards <wr3i@earthlink.net>  
To: w6toy@erols.com,  
Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [153109] Re: Vertically challenged antenna choice  
Message-ID: <oprreb5qsmkb5jrn@localhost>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8; format=flowed

Sage advice old man! you dates are wrong though (I hope)  
Dave  
W1QB

> Gotta ask, how many of you read my recent QST article on compromise  
> antennas? Think it only applied to wire antenna as Well it didn't, it  
> applied equally to aluminum! ALL antennas are a compromise. You install  
> them to the best of your ability, and then you optimize the  
> installation. There is only marginal difference between a near ground  
> wire and a vertical, maybe a few points in directivity. I used



> verticals exclusively from 1978 through 1891. Worked DXCC using them  
> along with WAS. Patience is the key. People get on at different  
> times. If you are patient you will eventually work them!  
>  
> 73  
>

--

Dave Richards

-----

End of QRP-L Digest 2963

\*\*\*\*\*

-----